Faculty Luncheons Summer 2005

1.	Dean'	s Comments	1-1
2.	Progra	am Review and Issues for Discussion, 2005	2-1
3.	Produ	ctivity and Budgetary Data	
	a.	SCH, FTE, Tuition Collected, FTE Funding by Department	3-1
	b.	Headcount and SCH by Program	3-6
	c.	Research Productivity by Department/Faculty	3-8
	d.	Budget	3-20
	e.	Resource Statements	3-23
	f.	Cash Flows	3-37
	g.	Revenues	3-38
	h.	Comparative Data	3-40
4.	Extern	nal Program Data	4-1
5.	Capita	ıl Campaign	5-1

In reviewing the materials attached a picture emerges which is consistent with our objective of maintaining a high quality business faculty through continuing emphasis on acquisition and utilization of the requisite resources necessary to support this objective.

The composition of the resource portfolio has continued to evolve in the direction of state-assisted. Less than 60% of the College's expenditures for 04-05 were funded by state monies. Our state budget has been hit by budget cuts (\$1.4 million in 2003) and increasing costs to maintain. In fact, our state budget is now less than the tuition dollars generated by the College. In addition, the growth in the private funding sector slowed considerably over the past three years with the market induced shrinkage in endowment values and a 20% reduction in the payout ratio. The most dramatic change in the resource portfolio has been the rapid increase in the contributions from revenue programs. The \$8+ million in 04-05 was 100% greater than the figure in 02-03 and it kept the total budget increasing at the rate necessary to maintain a high quality faculty in spite of no/slow growth in the state and private sector.

In 2004 the College reached an agreement with the University that budget increases subsequent to FY 2004-05 would depend on performance as measured by changes in weighted student credit hours and the revenues (tuition and fees) associated with those changes. Application of the model to the 04-05 data would result in a \$962,000 budget increase plus the funded salary increases. This outcome would enable us to begin to repair our operating funds and allow the replaced revenue funds to migrate to the enhancement function. This, of course, assumes the University will /can fund the budget model as agreed (not likely, at this point).

In the absence of these funds, we will not be able to rebuild our operating budget, expand our PhD program, or fill vacant faculty lines. All of these needs call for permanent funding and funds generated by external programs or gifts and awards are not appropriate substitutes. These funds are to be used for enhancements.

We will continue to reduce undergraduate major headcount. We need to recognize that non-electronic platform courses in the undergraduate program do not generate sufficient revenue to cover the cost of the faculty to teach the course. Freed-up resources will focus on expanding initiatives to enhance the undergraduate experience and add resources to support the electronic platform. In addition, we will continue to emphasize graduate education as stressed by the University's Strategic Plan. The budgetary possibilities of this emphasis are also positive as a "normal" size graduate class (32) generates more than twice the revenues of a "normal" undergraduate class (42).

At the graduate level we will expand support for Ph.D. students (stipends, fifth year support, and expand numbers if the quality and faculty are available). The MBA program will be small and selective. We will attempt to grow the external MBA headcount to capacity and expand revenue. The specialized masters will not expand but attempt to maintain graduate SCH, and focus on increased quality.

Our portfolio mix of programs and SCH must continue to be focused on maximizing revenue generation while attaining the greatest value added possible.

Our faculty numbers are low for the number of SCHs and FTEs we generate. Our first priority is to fill positions that have recently been vacated. Beyond that, we would expand our faculty numbers with an appropriate mix of tenure track and clinical faculty to properly service our student demand and maintain the research productivity for which we are noted.

Our immediate resource need, prior to adding faculty, is to re-build the operating budget. The operating budget covers expenses, technology, staff, and research and teaching assistants. In addition, these resources are needed to support the upcoming capital campaign and the requisite marketing effort necessary for success.

Another priority relates to facilities. The previously mentioned agreement with the University included a series of reduced taxes on our external programs. The funds retained due to tax reductions are to be used to build a graduate studies building. The building size will be comparable to Gerson Hall and would provide a location for all graduate teaching, graduate programs, and graduate students. The building could then be named by a donor and the endowment income could be used to support graduate programs. There are currently two issues with the building. One, the University has been unable to give the go ahead on a location and, two, they would like us to consider constructing a larger building (we would need to find the resources).

We also need to take the opportunity to enhance our current physical environment. The Stuzin endowment is complete and we have received the state match. The full funding capability should be available in 2006-2007. The revenue is to be used for physical improvements in Stuzin and over the next several years we should be able to enhance that part of our plant.

The Capital Campaign will begin in its quiet phase in July of 2005. The College's target is \$112 million and is focused on faculty support and program enhancement. The goal is achievable if we add the appropriate development staff. We ran the last two campaigns with a reduced staff, often with only one development person. To be successful in the next campaign, we will need at least four staff on board (currently, we have two).

Under the new Provost, we hope the University will move to responsibility centered budgets. This will provide a clear and understandable link between productivity and our state budget and it will generate appropriate funding for our core activities. The endowment income and external program resources can then be used to provide enhancements. At present, we generate about \$60 million in state revenue (tuition, fees, and FTE funding) and only 38% remains in the College. Our peers retain, on the average, 60% of their generated revenues. As a result we are last among our peers in state support dollars per student, class size per faculty and MBA rankings. In spite of this resource drag, we have done quite well on several dimensions. We are in the top 20% in research productivity, graduate enrollments, PhD quality, external program revenues, and endowment. Over the last decade, the College has responded to the challenges and used its limited resources effectively. If we are to eventually grow and add faculty we need the resources and environment to support them. We will not add faculty and dilute the support for current faculty, programs, and staff.

We have been a leader in innovation and new approaches to management education. Many of these involve technology, curriculum development, internet education, expanded programs (in globalization, entrepreneurship, business ethics), expanded support to PhD programs, and increased faculty support. We need to continue to focus on the things that make us better and continue to innovate and invest in new ideas. We cannot let the lack of support from the University dampen our enthusiasm for innovation. The market and business of management education has changed dramatically in the last decade, and will continue to evolve. We need to take advantage of the opportunity.

Warrington College of Business Administration

Program Review

April 2005

Table of Contents

I.	Please identify College progress in the past year to advance the University's strategic plan. In particular, identify hiring done in the past year and the ways these faculty hires have strengthened the College
II.	Last year we discussed your strongest departments/programs and an outline of a plan to place them in the top 10 among publics. What steps have you taken in the intervening year to facilitate their advancement?9
III.	How many faculty searches are being conducted in the college this year and what progress has occurred to date in filling those positions? In particular, please indicate how authorized lines are being filled this year and how many authorized lines will not be filled this year.

The Budget/Program Review for 2005 is a continuation of the Program Review submitted April 9, 2004 and subsequently supplemented by the Transition Report submitted December 14, 2004. The information that is presented in this report should be viewed as a continuation of the two aforementioned reports and a full appreciation of the issues to be addressed or discussed would include the prior submissions. Only the changes or additions to the earlier reports are included here.

Issues to Address in Report:

I. Please identify College progress in the past year to advance the University's strategic plan. In particular, identify hiring done in the past year and the ways these faculty hires have strengthened the College.

The goal of the University's strategic plan is to place the University among the top ten public research universities. This objective maps precisely with the College's measures of success as articulated in its most recent AACSB Reaccredidation Report (2003):

Programs and faculty of the College will consistently rank among the top ten public research institutions.

The College has tracked this objective for a number of years and has realized this goal in the majority of its academic units and programs. The actions of the College this past year, as in previous years, has been driven by that objective. The metric for individual decisions, is and has been, the contribution to this objective.

1. The Strategic Plan states that "UF must continue to strengthen and enhance its Undergraduate Programs" (SP, page 3)

One of the few comments in the Strategic Plan directly related to the College of Business suggested that the College should "trim and consolidate at the undergraduate level to the benefit of the MBA, PhD, and the Fisher School of Accounting". In fact that process is well underway as the undergraduate headcount has decreased by 20% over the last two years through active enrollment management. The <u>quality attributes</u> of undergraduate programs that are emphasized in the University Strategic Plan document are one of the priorities of the College and substantial progress has been made, especially in the following areas:

- A. Maintain access/decrease headcount: In the face of a static set of resources, the College continues with a two-fold enrollment management plan for the Undergraduate Program.
- -Maintain access to business education for the community at large through the Electronic Platform courses;
- -Enhance the educational experience of the business major by limited total headcount and reducing head count per section.

The data reported in Attachment 1 suggest the strategy has been successful and SCH have been relatively constant and the number of majors has declined by over 10% the past year.

B. Increase Research/Face Time with Faculty

-University Scholars: Continuing emphasis and participation in the University Scholars Programs. The 3:1 application to acceptance ratio continues and the College has funded several beyond the nine allocated by the University.

-Scholars in Action program: The College is developing an intensive scholars program which now has 50 students per term and will eventually number 200. These students act as Scholar Assistants for Electronic Platform classes and simultaneously enroll in a two credit enrichment class (max. 25 enrollees) taught by the EP faculty. The students meet each week with the faculty (or visiting faculty) and on a scheduled basis with assigned EP students. Extremely positive feedback in the first year of the initiative.

C. Enriched Electronic Platform Courses

The College has launched a multi-year EP Course Enrichment Program at a total cost of \$500,000. The first course to pass through this transition is now online using the Vista CT platform and extensive electronic interaction. We have an intensive review and evaluation scheduled for the end of Spring term and then we will begin the transition work on the next course.

D. Leadership Development

The Florida Leadership Academy (FLA) was founded to provide professional development programs for outstanding sophomore students in the College. Selection is competitive with requirements of academic excellence, extracurricular involvement and leadership potential. The first class consisted of 40 of the best undergraduates in the College. Subsequent classes will enroll the top 100 sophomores in Business.

E. Program Outreach and Enrichment

-Warrington Welcome. The College initiated a credit course for freshman in Fall, 2004. The intent of this course is captured by its themes: Personal Attention, Great Friendships, and a Foundation for Success. Class size is limited to 20 and is facilitated by a professional staff member and a senior business student. The class is intended to provide personal, peer, and professional connections in a community of scholars. During 2004-05, the College has offered 36 sections of the course, enough to accommodate 80% of our first year students.

-On-Line BSBA. The College committed to a major outreach project with the delivery of an on-line BSBA beginning in Fall, 03. The program has now graduated 27 students and

currently has 212 enrolled in the program. All assessment materials have rated the program successful and average student evaluations have been in the 4/5 range (excellent).

-Skills Development. Alumni feedback and student assessment have indicated insufficient development of critical skills that have been outside the curriculum mission of the College. The College has taken a pro-active remedial position and has begun to staff and deliver the following critical skills courses within the College:

- 1) Management Communication Skills. The College has hired four communications faculty who are delivering 30+ sections of oral and written communication classes for business students. A new class for undergraduates, Professional Business Writing, was introduced this year and 8 sections (250 students) were taught in the Fall and Spring.
- 2) Career Development and Computing Skills. The College began to offer two new critical skills courses in Fall, 04. These courses, Computing in the Business Environment and Effective Career Management in Business are web-based and have generated significant student interest with enrollments of 100+ each term.

F. Internationalization

The College's continuing emphasis on internationalizing the undergraduate experience has received an additional boost with the establishment of dedicated overseas programs and sites for our students. There are now four dedicated locations to choose from - Paris, Rouen, London, and Madrid. The College delivers half of the curriculum at each site in combination with courses taught onsite by guest lecturers. This year we have had 65 participants and we expect that number to increase to 100 next year. Ultimately through these sites, exchanges and transfer programs, we expect at least 25% (currently 17%) of our students to have had a study abroad experience.

- 2. The Strategic Plan also declares, "Success in the strategic plan depends critically on balancing the following considerations in each unit." (SP, page 14). The College has engaged these challenges as follows:
 - A. Hiring, Developing, and Retaining Top Quality, Productive Faculty
 - 1) Hires

The College has hired 24 new tenure-track faculty in the last five years. All but one were at the Assistant Professor level and all graduated from peer schools or better. The degree granting institutions included Chicago (2), Northwestern (2), Maryland (2), Princeton, Berkeley, Duke, Carnegie Mellon, and several others. Faculty hiring in the last two years has been limited to replacement only. In fact, the College has received no funding for new hires in several years.

2) Promotions

In the last five years, the College has nominated seventeen faculty for promotion and/or tenure and the Academic Personnel Board has approved all of them (10 to Associate with Tenure; 7 to Full). Included in this group were three Assistants who were promoted early.

3) Retention

a) Matching Offers

The College has had sixteen instances of external offers over the past five years and has successfully countered in fifteen of those cases. It should be noted that the \$510,000 needed to fund those counteroffers came from the College's unused rate. The external offers came from peer schools such as University of South California (2), Duke (2), Maryland (2), Michigan State, Vanderbilt, and others.

b) Performance

The College has had 16 departures since 2000 for various reasons: Performance – insufficient progress (8); Retirement (2); Left Profession (1); Another school (5). In reference to the first reason, the College has a mandatory third year review for all untenured, tenure-track faculty. The College intends to hire faculty who will be professionally successful. However, lack of progress at any point before tenure or as revealed by sustained performance analysis are grounds for termination. It should be noted the College countered in only one of the five "another school" cases.

4) Salary

The objective of the College's salary policy is to remain competitive with our peer group. Reference to the AACSB salary survey (2004-05) indicates that in the 25 comparative cells, the College mean exceeded peer mean in 80% of the comparisons. The College's objective is salary equal to or greater than peer mean in all cells.

The results of this proactive faculty centered strategy have already been laid out. The College has nationally renown and world class scholars throughout its academic units and collectively they are generally ranked among the top ten publics in the nation and top twenty in the world.

There is, of course, a major caveat associated with this good news. Maintaining a competitive environment for good faculty is a dynamic and difficult process, especially in times of fiscal restraint. This past year, we had three of our faculty receive offers that averaged 60% increases over current salaries from Stanford, Georgetown, and CEIBS

(Shanghai). The College and/or the University needs sufficient flexibility to be responsive to such market movements.

B. Enhancing the Graduate Programs in Scope and Quality

As is well known, the College has greatly expanded the scope of its graduate programs, especially at the Masters level, over the last seven years. The specialty masters portfolio contains seven different programs with the following headcount (Fall; 2004)

MSDIS	109
MSENT	41
MSFIN	32
MAIB	74
MSM	97
MARE	28
MACC	242
Total	*623

^{*}This total was 220 in 1997.

This increased portfolio has allowed the College to reach out beyond the MBA to the need for more focused training in the graduate program of interest. This rapid increase in scope has given the College a competitive advantage in the market and also positioned the curriculum to accommodate and emphasize 4/1 programs. It should be noted that no state funding has been made available for student support and scholarships.

Further evidence of the graduate coverage of the College programs can be found in the external MBA portfolio. In 1997, there were none active and there are now six different programs, some with multiple cohorts, enrolling almost 550 students. These programs have provided access to quality graduate education to an important clientele - the upward mobile business decision-maker and this has provided critical revenues for the operation of the College's programs and activities.

The quality variable of the graduate programs has likewise been enhanced as evidenced by the increase in the average GMAT scores for new admits (MBA:1999 - 615, 2004 - 667; PhD:1999-686, 2004 - 714). The placement records of all of the graduate programs continue to improve and recently the Marketing Department was judged to have the best PhD placement record in the discipline.

The stipend/scholarships support for the graduate programs has increased substantially through a judicious mix of state, private and revenue generated funds. The average stipend for our PhD students has increased almost 50% over the last five years (\$12,000 to \$17,000) and the total monies dedicated to support the MBA students has risen by more than 70% (\$568,881 to \$986,880) during this period. The funding required to sustain the College's competitive position in the PhD market absorbs 80% of the College's operating budget. All of the student funding required for the other graduate programs must by necessity, come from private or revenue sources.

C. Ensuring Infrastructure and Facility Needs are Met

The technology infrastructure of the College has been largely developed internally and now stands among the best of business schools without major new construction in the last five years. All offices and student workspaces have LAN access. About 75% of the College space has wireless coverage and we plan to take that to 100% over the next two years. The College requirement is that every student have a laptop and much computing work takes place in the courtyard which has excellent wireless coverage.

The College has acquired and supports 750 personal computers which is a 50% increase over the last five years. Each faculty person has at least two computers, one of which is a laptop for work at his/her home location. The College follows a 3 year replacement model such that the average age of these machines is approximately 1.5 years. In addition the College has equipped and maintains eight multi-media classrooms that have a total capacity of 650 students.

In order to service this equipment and provide other technological support and advice, the College has a significant investment in appropriate staff. The Information and Technology staff now consists of eighteen people including instructional designers, webmasters, systems technologists and computer technicians. The salary budget associated with this group exceeds \$1,000,000.

The facilities piece of the puzzle is somewhat more problematic in as much as change is much slower and decisions are not exclusively local. However, the College has added a new building recently which increased our total space by 33% and significant space configuration changes in Stuzin Hall have occurred. In both cases, private money played a significant/dominant role. The College is actively pursuing private support for a new building dedicated to graduate programs. This additional facility should satisfy our space needs for the foreseeable future. This space is critical to the College's competitive positioning, especially the MBA.

D. Strategies to Achieve Maximum Impact and Efficiencies

The College has a longstanding commitment to maximizing impact and achieving efficiencies. This commitment is articulated in many ways but perhaps the most important is the full integration of the electronic platform in the delivery of business programs and information. The numbers support the impact story:

Electronic platform

On-Campus

10,000 students per term,

Internet MBA

250 students enrolled,

On-line BSBA

212 students in program.

Access to information about the world of business has been a touchstone of our expanding use of the electronic platform. Almost half of the on-campus students enrolled are not business majors and yet this format gives them access. Of course, it is hard to measure the impact of providing

teaching to 25,000 students a year, but there is certainly an a priori case for significant. The entry into the internet market with the Internet and Online programs may likewise open doors to quality business education to those who do not have access otherwise.

The College has launched several inter-disciplinary programs to increase the impact of our resident knowledge base and skills. The newly developing entrepreneurship program has joined with agriculture and engineering in fashioning programs that will be more impactful because they cross disciplinary lines. Similar efforts through the College's Center for International Business and Economic Research have also resulted in programs that have greater impact because of their diverse perspectives.

An important extension of the influence or impact of the College's activity is the "storefront" efforts that have recently been allowed and launched. The College now offers an MBA program on-site in South Florida and will soon offer one in China. The impact of bringing the College's expertise and programs to these locations could be significant and the outcomes will be closely monitored.

Finally, the College is moving its support assets around to increase efficiency and productivity. Department staff are being reduced by 1/3 by attrition and the resources are being shifted to the Undergraduate Program Office and the Information and Technology Support area. Faculty support needs have shifted and student support needs have intensified with the use of the electronic platform. Further, net revenues from the on-line BSBA have been dedicated to the support of the resident program.

3. The Strategic Plan emphasizes throughout, "... UF must foster interdisciplinary research and instructional programs on an institutional level." (page 3, SP)

This strategic focus, in the first instance, is directed to several critical areas which are primarily in the sciences or social sciences field. However, the College has developed an interdisciplinary niche that can facilitate the fields noted and further the interdisciplinary effort in general.

A. Center for Entrepreneurship (CEI)

The College has created a Center for Entrepreneurship and Innovation which has begun to articulate its interdisciplinary mission through:

1) Degrees

The College launched an external degree program this past year with a Master of Science in Business Administration, and a concentration in Entrepreneurship. The first cohort of 40 students is now engaged and a new cohort of similar size is expected this summer.

2) Courses

The College now offers two courses and a minor in Entrepreneurship with an average course enrollment of 500 students per term. In addition, the College delivers courses for interested students (Pharmacy, Engineering, and Law) over "the Learning Network" each term with enrollments currently totaling 75 and increasing.

B. Economics Department

The College has sought to reinforce and expand the expertise of the Economics Department to those knowledge and application areas needing such expertise. The vehicle for this interdisciplinary initiative has been joint appointments of faculty connecting the research and/or teaching across disciplines. There is currently a search for an occupant of the joint CBA/ICARE appointment and a second possibility is in the planning stages for a joint CLAS/CBA appointment.

4. <u>Identify hiring done in the past year and the ways these faculty hires have strengthened the College.</u>

1.	Gwendolyn Lee	University of California, Berkeley	Assistant Professor, Management
2.	Srikanth Paruchuri	Columbia University	Assistant Professor, Management
3.	Aydin Alptekinoglu	UCLA	Assistant Professor, DIS
4.	Sarah Hamersma	University of Wisconsin	Assistant Professor, Economics
5.	Jenny Tucker	New York University	Assistant Professor, Accounting
6.	Haijin Lin	Carnegie Mellon	Assistant Professor, Accounting

In each case, the new hire was intended to replace a faculty who had departed due to retirement, performance, or another school. These six faculty additions move the College back toward the equilibrium number achieved in 2003. We continue to be short of the faculty number needed to effectively maintain and improve our standing. The personnel funding request includes rate needs of \$1.3 million, 85% of which is needed to reclaim and maintain equilibrium.

It should be noted that it takes 150% of the rate for a new hire five years ago to make a comparable hire today. Obviously, the College has been forced to cannibalize its lines in the absence of new rate which has resulted in decreased size as we tried to maintain.

II. Last year we discussed your strongest departments/programs and an outline of a plan to place them in the top 10 among publics. What steps have you taken in the intervening year to facilitate their advancement?

1. Achieve

A current summary of the departments/programs of the College is presented in the following table.*

Research Units

Department	03-04	04-05	Trend	Strength	Weakness	Action
	Ranking	Ranking				
Accounting	#30	#25		Programmatic	Research	Research
		1		Reputation	Productivity	Focus/New Hires
Decision and	#12	#11		Programmatic	Field Coverage	New
Information				Reputation,		Hires/Directed
Sciences				Research		Emphasis
				Productivity		
Economics	#10	#10		Research	Faculty Size,	Engage Faculty
		1		Productivity;	Demographics	in University &
				Focus		College
						Initiatives
Finance	#6	#8		Research	Faculty	Attain Financial
				Productivity	Vulnerable to	Flexibility/Enrich
					Market; No	Research
		}			Assistants	Environment;
						New Hire
Management	#10	#8	1	Research	Faculty	Attain Financial
				Productivity	Vulnerable to	Flexibility/Macro
					Market	Faculty Focus
Marketing	#1	#1		Research	Faculty	Attain Financial
				Productivity	Vulnerable to	Flexibility to
					Market	Retain Faculty

Instructional Units

Programs	03-04 Ranking	04-05 Ranking	Trend	Strength	Weakness	Action
Undergraduate	#15	#13		Accessibility, Innovation	Headcount, Too Large, Impersonal	Restrict Growth; More Support Services
MACC	#5	#5		CPA Pass Rate, Faculty Commitment	Limited Systems Focus	Hire where possible in Systems Area
MBA	#24	#21		Student Credentials, Executive Degree Programs	Funding, Facilities, Placement	Increased Funding, Focused Placement
Specialty Masters	N/A					
PhD	#14	#12		Marketing, Finance, Placements	Funding Inconsistent; Placement especially in Economics	Increased funding/increased stipends

^{*}The ranking information is compiled from several sources. The primary source for research productivity is Business Research in Eight Disciplines, Robinson and Adler, 2003. This study examines the publication record in terms of quantity, quality, and citation for fifty-one schools – all of which have been listed by the media as in the top 30 business schools in some publication. The primary source for programmatic quality is the analysis presented annually by US News and World Report. PhD programs are not ranked by the media and so this ranking reflects a composite of information with an emphasis on placement records.

The College has a total of ten Research/Instructional Units and six of these ten are in the top ten among public Colleges of Business

The challenge is to maintain the standings of the units who have attained "top ten" designation while moving the remainder in the right direction.

2. Maintain

The primary difficulties for the Research Units which have attained the desired standing is faculty size (Economics) and faculty vulnerability to the market for excellence.

A. Size

Size is a problem for the Economics Department because it is one of the smallest in our peer set and this size restricts field coverage which puts them at a productivity disadvantage. However, they have developed a niche strategy which has enabled them to attain the current ranking and the joint appointment opportunities will enable them to diversity their research base.

B. Market Vulnerability

More importantly and more generally, the faculty of the College's leading Departments are in demand in the market and the College has increased vulnerability to external offers

because of limited financial flexibility at the College and University level. Over the last five years the College has successfully countered fifteen of the sixteen offers received by its faculty at a cost of \$510,000. However there is no rate left in the College and apparently there is no rate available at the University level. This past Spring, one of our rising stars in Economics, David Figlio, who is a major player nationally in the burgeoning field of Education and Economics, received an offer from the Stanford Business School that would give him an increase of \$70,000. The College, having no rate available, requested assistance from the University, which also apparently has little or no rate and as a consequence could provide only \$20,000 toward a counteroffer. The College managed to cobble together a package with private money that will keep David here – in the short term. However, the availability of these kinds of solutions is very limited and for the next one of these offers, there will be no viable counter.

There appears to be three possible solutions to this problem:

- a) Acquisition of new resources;
- b) Reduce faculty size to free up rate;
- c) Admit that excellence is not possible in the face of limited budgets and increasing demand for instructional units.

The College has tried to keep the market "at bay" through the revenue programs cash flow. However, these off-book dollars have upside limits and generate research productivity tension.

3. <u>Improve Research Output</u>

There are two units among our research units that cannot claim the appropriate standing.

A. Accounting

The research productivity of this unit has degenerated to the point that it is the only unit in the College that was not ranked in a recent major citation analysis. The programmatic aspects of the School continue to receive excellent rating but the research domain has atrophied. In fact, no one has been promoted to full in the last ten years. This is true in spite of the presence of an eminent scholar who is nationally recognized for the quality and quantity of his research. The College has taken the following steps to improve this situation.

- 1) A new Director has been appointed (Joel Demski). As an Eminent Scholar, the School's Director has a very clear research orientation which will be infused into the School.
- 2) The University provided some immediate funds for new research-oriented hires and to date there have been two hires, one from Carnegie Mellon and one from New York University.
- 3) The College will exercise more timely quality control through further integration of the PhD program and specific accountability metrics.

It is the expectation that the School's research program should be in the top fifteen in three years and top ten in five.

B. Decision and Information Sciences

This unit has made substantial reputational gains over the past few years and should be among the top ten in the next three years. This is a department whose research output measurement is a problem because of multiple fields with their own clientele and journals.

Much of the energy for this improvement has come from the new hires (seven since 2000) all of whom come from Research I programs. However, a limiter to rapid improvement has been the small number of "mentoring" senior faculty present (one Eminent Scholar and the Chair). Other departments have been highly productive with this "bottom-heavy" structure (Management Department) but it requires cross-discipline/cross-university collaboration which can slow the process down.

There are no strategic changes planned for the Department. They will bring in one new hire this year to replace a retirement. If funds are sufficient, the new hire could be a senior assistant who would provide more research leadership/maturity than the newly credentialed hire.

4. Improve Instructional Output

There are also two programs among our instructional units that cannot yet claim the desired standing.

A. MBA Program

The MBA Program is the most intensely examined activity of the College. The program was most recently ranked 21st among publics which was an improvement from the previous year's ranking (25th). The size of the resident program has been reduced by 50% (240 to 120) over the last five years in part by design (increased GMAT, GPA, work experience) and in part by competition. Size seems to matter in MBA rankings and to break into the top decile, the program probably needs at least 150 students. This cannot be attained in today's competitive market without increased funding for students.

In order to attain the size and ranking desired, the College has put the following in place.

- 1) Appointed a new Director with responsibility for all the college-wide masters programs.
- 2) Divided the support staff into residential and external with an Associate Director in charge of each.
- 3) Increased and enhanced activity by career service officers and marketing personnel to overcome reputational and locational handicap. Funding flows from external programs.

The College has an active and expanding portfolio of seven different external programs and an enrollment of over 600 students. Limited ranking information is available for these programs

which tend to be idiosyncratic. However, demand is a fair measure of perceived value and the growing enrollments is a market indicator of quality and value added.

B. PhD Program

This Program has grown slowly over the last few years from a low of 74 headcount in 1998 to a forecasted 95 in 2005. There is no general external ranking of PhD programs in Business and a paucity of such information as related to the disciplines.

The assessment process generally focuses on placement and certainly within the College placement at peer schools or better is an important variable when evaluating departmental outcomes. Since 1994, 60% of the PhD placements (excluding international students who returned to their native country) have been at peer schools and most recently the placement record has been even better with placements at Wharton (Marketing), Michigan (DIS), Duke (Economics), Carnegie Mellon (Accounting), Michigan State (Management), and Pittsburg (Finance).

The 2nd decile standing for the overall program is largely built on the standing of the programs in Marketing and Finance. Specific ranking information for disciplines indicate that the Marketing PhD program has had the #1 placement record in the discipline over the past eight years with placements at MIT, Harvard, Chicago, Wharton, NYU, Columbia, etc. These students have won or placed in dissertation competitions in five of the last six years. Finance PhD student placement results rank in the top five of the public peer group for the period 1990-1998. The other disciplines (Economics, Accounting, DIS and Management) have little or no discipline specific information. I believe that none of these would be top ten although all are improving and could reach that level in the next three to five years.

The major limiters to general improvement is sufficiency of funding. The College has increased minimum stipends from \$10,000 to \$15,000 in the last three years but we are still below the national mean of \$19,000 and the best students - those we recruit - are being offered a minimum of \$25,000. Funding competition is intense not only in terms of stipend but also in terms of tuition waivers and years of support. The former is a necessary part of a competitive support package and if we lose this state funding the College will be forced to cut the program by 50% in order to fund tuition from its own budget. The current college support package provides four (4) years of support but the national norm is moving to five (5) years and we will have to meet that condition within the next three years. We have increased our PhD budget from \$500,000 to \$850,000 (excluding tuition waivers and Alumni Fellowships). However, we'll have to increase the College's budget to \$1,000,000 in the short run and \$1,500,000 in five years to get the numbers and quality consistent with 1st decile ranking across the board.

In one more ranking note, a recent analysis by three Canadian schools came up with a comprehensive ranking of some 500 business schools world-wide. The ranking is based on research productivity as measured through citation analysis utilizing a selected set of journals. The Warrington College of Business ranked 34th in the world and 18th among publics. As is often the case (i.e., University of Florida), the whole is less than the sum of the parts. The

discipline and program specific evaluations change more rapidly than the sum of such as embodied by the College. We believe that if we continue on the current improvement trajectory, the College will achieve the same standing as the individual research and instructional units of the College within three years.

- III. How many faculty searches are being conducted in the college this year and what progress has occurred to date in filling these positions? In particular, please indicate how authorized lines are being filled this year and how many authorized lines will not be filled this year.
 - A. The College authorized three faculty searches this year.

Department	Position	Status	New Hire
Economics/ICARE	Assistant Professor	Completed; Offer made;	
		Response pending	
Accounting	Assistant Professor	Completed; Offer made;	
		Response pending	
	Assistant Professor	Completed; Offer made;	
		Response pending	

B. The College will have three vacant lines at the beginning of the 05-06 FY that are to be filled by lecturers. None of these lines have sufficient rate to support hiring tenure-track faculty and as a consequence the College has been forced to either downsize its faculty further or hire lower cost personnel for teaching purposes on a limited time basis.

Budget

First Priority:

Identify/Commit building site for graduate studies program building (no funding required)

Second Priority:

Restore the College's operating budget to 2001-02 level

Continuing Needs:

Personnel funding referenced in following text and table

The first priority for the College is to secure a building site for the proposed graduate studies building. The continuing success and future improvement in our graduate programs will depend on appropriate funding and facilities. All of our peers have acquired new facilities with appropriate technology in the last five years. We must move soon on this or lose our competitive position.

The second priority for the College is restoration of its operating funds. As a result of the 50% reduction in these funds over the last three years, sustaining the PhD program alone now absorbs 90% of the \$1.5 million budget. There is literally not enough funding left "to keep the lights on" and without private sources and revenue programs, the College would be forced to place severe restraints on its programs and activities.

The personnel funding needs of the College should be understood in the context of no available rate. All uncommitted rate in the College has been used to sustain the College's operations. However, we can no longer maintain the operations of the College and provide the appropriate staffing by covering all needs with one limited source of funds. And further, the fund demands placed on the revenue programs have become so large that the reinvestment needed for maintaining and refreshing the revenue program has been compromised.

The personnel funding needs reported in the attached spreadsheet are of four different types. It should be noted that the first two categories represent replacement events in which the rate made available was absorbed either in underfunded new hires or matching offers.

1. Critical Needs

The College has identified the number of faculty needed to sustain appropriate quality and quantity dimensions after the effects of the current enrollment management strategies are fully realized. The College is now several faculty below this number and the rate specified in the critical category is needed to replace departing or retiring faculty whose work product must be recaptured or the College's programs and output must be downsized.

2. Important Needs

The two lines identified as important funding needs have unique and central roles to play in the College. The Eminent Scholar line (Jim Walter Eminent Scholar Chair) has been vacant for several years and the rate required has escalated. The College's "unfilled" response to the annual state audit will eventually raise questions by the donor if not the State about the University's commitment to the Eminent Scholar program.

The FSOA Director position has been (will be) vacated by retirement and the School needs new leadership to move it back to the forefront of peer schools. The interim Director has made major strides in recruiting and reassessment of priorities. This very positive momentum will be lost without the funding necessary for a new Director.

3. New Initiatives

-In College: There are two new and important fields of study in business education – ethics and entrepreneurship. While both disciplines have been part of business management from its inception, it is only recently that they have become critical management and social issues. The College has captured this rising interest with relevant centers and private funding. Student interest has outpaced resources available, and this in-college initiative would provide a teaching faculty person in each field.

-In University: As noted, the College has responded to an increasing need across the University for expertise in Economics by exploring and instigating joint appointments. The first two manifestations of this initiative is the ECO/ICARE appointment and the proposed ECO/CLA-CSS appointment. This initiative tracks the interdisciplinary nature of the field and provides leverage for the funds available.

2005-06 Program Review Budget Request

College/Unit:

Warrington College of Business Administration

Building Site: First Priority

Other Funding (Top Funding Needs):

		Funding	
Department/Focus Area	Description of Funding Needs	Amount	Funding Justification
Connection			Page location of narrative
College: Research & Teaching	Expense and OPS	\$1,700,000	
	This would restore our operating budget which has been cut by 50% with a \$1.3	has been cut b	y 50% with a \$1.3
	million budget reduction in 01-02 and a \$300,000 reduction this year.	o reduction unit	year.

Personnel Funding (Continuing Needs):

									Office
						Additional			and/or Lab
			Months			Resources		Funding	Space
Department/Focus Area	Salary Plan	Title	Appointed	ᆵ	Salary	Required	Funding	Justification Availability	Availability
If interdisciplinary, note College/Department Connection	Faculty TEAMS GRA OPS		9,12		Includes fringe benefits	start-up, office/lab renovation, equipment, etc.	support, travel, staff support should be noted on a separate	Page location of narrative	yes/no
Critical Needs				Γ		87			
Management	Faculty	Assistant Professor	6	1.00	\$ 162,500	₩	\$10,000		yes
Marketing	Faculty	Lecturer	6	1.00	\$ 100,000		005'2\$		yes
Decision Information Sciences	Faculty	Assistant Professor	6	1.00	\$ 170,000		\$10,000		yes
Finance	Faculty	Assistant Professor	6	1.00	\$ 170,000		\$10,000		yes
Important Needs									
Economics	Faculty	Eminent Scholar	6	1.00	\$ 250,000		\$20,000		yes
Accounting	Faculty	Director, FSOA	6	1.00	\$ 200,000		\$15,000		yes
100									
New Initiatives-in College									
Management	Faculty	Lecturer	6	1.00	\$ 87,500		\$5,000		yes
Finance/CEI	Faculty	Lecturer	6	1.00	\$ 105,000		\$5,000		yes
New Initiatives-in University									
Economics/Education	Faculty	Assistant Professor	6	0.50	\$ 55,000		\$5,000		yes
Economics/LAS	Faculty	Assistant Professor	6	0.50	\$ 55,000		\$5,000		yes

Warrington College of Business Administration

Items for Discussion

April 2005

Table of Contents

1. 2.	What are your goals for undergraduate education in the next 3 to 5 years? What are your goals for graduate education in the next 3 to 5 years?
3.	What Distance/Continuing/Executive Education programs do you have in place and what new ones are you considering? Will you request on-book or off-book status?3
4.	How have you gone about diversifying the faculty and staff in the past 12 months?5
5.	How well do your departments track PhD students post-graduation?6
Atta	chments:
1. 2. 3.	PhD Placement Results Program Review, 2004 Transition Report, 2004

1., 2. What are your goals for undergraduate and graduate education in the next 3 to 5 years?

The enrollment goals for the College in the time period of interest are:

Undergraduate programs-Reduce headcount and maintain SCH,

Graduate Programs-Increase headcount and SCH.

External Programs-Increase headcount.

The quality assessment goals are to achieve top ten public standing in ranked activity and significant demand for activities outside of ranking metrics.

A. Undergraduate Programs (Headcount)

	<u>Fall 04</u>	<u>Fall 07</u>	% Change
BABA	1,134	775	-32%
BSBA	3,242	3,125	-4%
BSBA (DCE, on-book)	211	385	+82%
BAcc	<u>709</u>	<u>720</u>	<u>+2%</u>
Total	5,296	5,005	-5.5%

The changes in undergraduate headcount are driven by the objective of reducing senior class sizes. The College has put in place enrollment management strategies which should maintain the desired equilibrium number of 5000, given the current resource base. These strategies include increased preprofessional requirements and capacity constraints in terms of transfers.

The external undergraduate program should experience a significant headcount increase over this period going from 212, Fall 04 to 385, Fall 07. Under the current revenue sharing agreement, these headcount move to the on-book count and have reduced the impact of the declining headcount in the BABA program.

B. Undergraduate Programs (Student Credit Hours)

	SCH 04-05	SCH, 06-07	% Change
BABA	13,365	9,200	-31%
BSBA	54,807	52,000	-5%
BSBA (DCE, on-book)	4,018	13,500	+336%
BAcc	12,293	12,500	+2%
Other	42,289	<u>45,000</u>	<u>+6%</u>
Total	126,772	132,200	+4%

The small increase in SCH forecasted in spite of the relatively large decrease in headcount is consistent with the objective of maintaining SCH. The several strategies used include:

- 1. BABA and BSBA curriculum now require more business hours so the business SCH per headcount will go up and cushion the impact of the headcount decrease.
- 2. Increase seats available in service courses (new & old) that are attractive to out-of-college students. The forecasted increase in "others" SCH reflects the impact of this strategy.
- 3. New SCH captured by BSBA (DCE, on-book).

C. Graduate Programs (Headcount)

	<u>Fall 04</u>	<u>Fall 07</u>	% Change
MBA	131	140	+6.8%
MBA (DCE, on book)	39	375	+960%
MAC	242	240	0%
Masters (spec)	341	385	+12.9%
PhD	<u>90</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>+11%</u>
Total	843	1,240	+47%

All graduate programs are expected to increase in headcount over the relevant period. The primary source of the forecasted increase in total is the inclusion of MBA (DCE) headcount in the on-book numbers. New revenue sharing programs have facilitated moving certain DCE courses on-book (Internet MBA) and provided a vehicle for including certain off campus programs (South Florida, China) in the on-book count. Increases in the MBA and Specialized Masters program numbers are also forecasted. In all cases, except the MBA program, the program will have reached capacity. In some cases, MSRE and MSF, it appears to be "demand" capacity and in others it is more "supply" capacity. In the latter case, there appears to be unsatisfied demand for the MAIB, MSM, MSDIS, and PhD programs. However, the resources needed for expansion in these programs are fully utilized and any additional growth would require additional resources.

D. Graduate Programs (Student Credit Hours)

	<u>04-05</u>	<u>06-07</u>	% Change
MBA	3,274	3,400	+4%
MBA (DCE, on book)	1,596	6,262	+400%
MAC	4,233	4,300	+1.5%
Masters (spec)	7,502	8,366	+11.5%
PhD	1,901	2,050	+8%
Other	3,278	<u>3,400</u>	+3.7%
Total	21,784	27,778	+27.5%

The growth in graduate student credit hours tracks the forecasted growth in headcount. It reflects the new revenue sharing agreement which has brought the internet MBA program and the South Florida and China programs on-book. As noted, any further increase in graduate student credit hours (except for the MBA program) will require increased demand (MSRE, MSF) or increased resources (MSM, MAIB, MSDIS, PhD).

5. Graduate Programs (DCE-off book)

	Fall 04	Fall 07
Headcount	491	375
	06-07	05-06
SCH	12,920	9,250

The decrease in headcount and student credit hours reflects a reclassification of two major programs (Internet 1MBA and Internet 2MBA) from off-book to on-book. In fact the total headcount and SCH attributable to external graduate programs are expected to increase from 491 (F, 04) to 750 (F, 07) and from 12,290 (04-05) to 15,512 (06-07).

3. What Distance/Continuing/Executive Education programs do you have in place and what new ones are you considering? Will you request on-book or off-book status?

The College currently has ten different programs in some form of the DCE format. There are twenty-one sections of these programs currently offered with a total during year enrollment of 935. In the past year, five of these programs have begun or been moved to on-book status with specified revenue sharing agreements.

The College has moved two undergraduate courses, Principles of Entrepreneurship and Principles of Real Estate to the electronic platform locally. The College is considering the delivery of these courses in a DCE format statewide as stand alone, general interest courses servicing the business community with an on-book/revenue sharing arrangement. In addition, the College planned to deliver in a local DCE format two undergraduate courses, GEB3035, ISM3004, off-book. The continuing "book" status of these courses is currently under discussion.

	NUMBER					NUMBER OF GRADUATES
	CREDIT				CURRENT	THRU FALL
PROGRAM TITLE	HOURS	FORMAT	LOCATION	LENGTH	ENROLLMENT	2004
Executive MBA	48	Live sessions one weekend (Fri/Sat/Sun) per month.	Gainesville, FL	20 months	55 (2 sections)	289
MBA For Engineers & Scientists	48	Live sessions one weekend (Sat/Sun) per month.	Gainesville, FL	27 months	77 (2 sections)	37
Professional Two Year MBA	48	Live sessions one weekend (Sat/Sun) per month.	Gainesville, FL	27 months	157 (3 sections)	173
Professional MBA in South Florida	48	Live sessions one weekend (Sat/Sun) every 3 weeks.	Weston, FL	24 months	39 (1 section)	N/A
Professional MBA in Tianiin	8	Live sessions in a modular format meeting twice per module (Fri through Mon)	Tieniin D O China	20 months	Anticipated to	VIV
Professional One Year MBA	33			SIMINI 77	מממווו במוו למחלים	
Figures of the real MDA	32	LIVE Sessions one weekend (SavSun) per month.	Gainesville, FL	16 months	52 (1 section)	259
Internet Two Year MBA	48	Live sessions at beginning (Sun) and end of term (Sat). Majority of work done via internet.	Gainesville, FL & Distance Leaming	27 months	152 (3 sections)	132
Internet One Year MBA	32	Live sessions at beginning (Sun) and end of term (Sat). Majority of work done via internet	Gainesville, FL & Distance Learning	18 months	Of (2 contions)	74
Online BS in Business		All courses offered via internet Exams omortoged at		2	20 (£ 3004013)	-
Administration	90	various locations in Florida.	Student's Location	24 months	241 (5 sections)	21
MSBA Entrepreneurship	30	Live sessions one weekend (Sat/Sun) per month.	Gainesville, FL	15 months	42 (1 section)	N/A

4. How have you gone about diversifying the faculty and staff in the past 12 months?

The College has continued its pro-active policies in terms of ethnic and gender diversification. While it has had limited success in the former, it has made some substantial progress in the latter.

In the past twelve months, we have hired six new faculty

	Ge	nder
Ethnicity	Male	Female
White-Caucasian		1
African-American		
Asian-American	2	3
Hispanic-American		.57

Four of the hires are female and five of the hires are minority. However, we continue to have limited success in hiring African-American faculty. There have been opportunities, i.e., trailing spouse, lecturer relocation, that the College could not take advantage of due to lack of resources. In each case, the College requested resource assistance from the University but apparently the lack thereof persists at all levels and complicates efforts to diversify. We currently have two African-American faculty and hope to double that number in three years, but it will be difficult. We are a supporting member of the PhD Project whose purpose is to increase the numbers of African-Americans in the profession. The problem is too few candidates and too great a demand. We continue to search and incentivize success but the going is slow.

On the staff side of the ledger, gender diversification is not a problem or if it is, it is in the male population. However, ethnic diversity seems to be almost as intractable as in the faculty population. The record of staff hires for the last twelve months is:

	C	ender
Ethnicity	Male	Female
White-Caucasian	3	7
African-American	1	1
Asian-American		1
Hispanic-American		
American-Indian	1	

Over half of the recent hires are female, although the male population represents an increase over the existing proportion (18%). Only four of the new employees were minority, two of whom are African-American. Currently about 17% of our staff are minority and we will take every feasible hiring opportunity to increase that proportion to 20%.

5. How well do your departments track PhD students post-graduation?

Our metrics for success in the PhD program are placement and research productivity.

- -Placement. The goal is to place graduates in positions at peer schools or better. This objective is tracked throughout the graduates' career as the placement issue is not just the initial position. Several of our recent graduates have moved in their second position to peer schools or better.
- -Productivity. The goal is to prepare graduates for successful academic careers in research intensive institutions. Timely promotions, tenure awards, and various recognitions are evidence of success in this aspect/result of our PhD training.

The Dean/Senior Associate Dean meet with the Academic Unit Heads annually to review the success of the Departments' PhD programs in terms of the outcome metrics noted above. Of course that review requires the Departments to track their PhD students post-graduation and the results have been a fairly complete data set for all of our graduates since 1992 (Attached, pre-1992 information is limited). The centrality of this information to program evaluation requires appropriate attention to this data.

			Information on OF Fn.D. grads:	: Flads:	
		0.3	Last data input: 12/04	04	
None	Description	GmdDat	Ciente Ich	Decreet Decition if Image	Award/Honor
INAME	Department	Oracinat		Freschi Fosition, II Known	Awaiw/molion
Cynthia Copp	Accounting	92	Louisiana State University	No Affiliation	
Robin Radke	Accounting	92	University of Houston	University of Texas, San Antonio	
Pornsiri Poonakasem	Accounting	92	Chulalongkorn University		
Devaun Kite	Accounting	92	University of Houston	No Affiliation	
Ronald G. Worsham	Accounting	May-94	Brigham Young University	Brigham Young University	
Carolyn Takeda-Brown	Accounting	Dec-94	University of Florida	No Affiliation	
Marilyn Zareski	Accounting	Dec-94	University of Central Florida	University of Mississippi	
Louise E. Single	Accounting	May-95	Boston College	University of Texas - Austin	
Sean Robb	Accounting	May-95	Wilfrid Laurier Univ. (Canada)	University of Toronto	
Jeffrey Payne	Accounting	Aug-95	Pittsburgh State University	University of Oklahoma	
Mark Anderson	Accounting	Dec-95	University of Texas-Dallas	University of Texas - Dallas	
Partha Sengupta	Accounting	Dec-95	University of California-Irvine	University of Hawaii - Manoa	
Antonello Callimaci	Accounting	May-97	University of Quebec-Montreal	University of Quebec-Montreal	
Donna D. Bobek	Accounting	Dec-97	University of Central Florida	University of Central Florida	
Lizabeth Anne Austen	Accounting	Dec-97	University of Arkansas	Eastern Carolina University	
Jinghong Liang	Accounting	May-98	Carnegie Mellon	Carnegie Mellon University	
Richard C. Hatfield	Accounting	May-98	Drexel University	University of Texas - San Antonio	
Deborah Pendarvis	Accounting	Aug-98	Flagler College	Saint Leo University	
Vincent Owhoso	Accounting	Aug-98	Bentley College	Bentley College	
Suzanne Landry	Accounting	May-99	Universite du Quebec a Montreal	Universite du Quebec a Montreal	
Kevan Lee Jensen	Accounting	May-00	Univ of Oklahoma (Asst. Prof.)	University of Oklahoma	
Sanjeev Bhojraj	Accounting	May-00	Comell University (Asst. Prof.)	Cornell University	
Allen Blay	Accounting	Aug-00	University of California- Riverside	University of California- Riverside	
Lin Nan	Accounting	Aug-04	Carnegie Mellon	Carnegie Mellon University	
George Drymiotes	Accounting	Aug-04	University of Houston.	University of Houston	
Oya Icmele	DIS	Dec-92	Cleveland State University	same	
Serpil Sayin	DIS	Dec-92	Bilkent University, Turkey	Koc University, Turkey	And the second s
Meltem Denizel-Sivri	DIS	May-93	Middle East Tech Univ, Turkey	Sabanci University, Turkey	
Chrysanthus Dealmeida	DIS	Aug-93	St. Francis Xavier, Nova Scotia	same	
Haldun Aytug	DIS	Aug-93	Michigan Tech	University of Florida	
Kiran Garimella	DIS	Aug-93	Central State-Wilberforce	Chief Architect, GE-Healthcare	
Siddharth Bhattacharva	DIS	Aug-93	Southern Illinois UnivCarbondale	University of Illinois - Chicago	

Name	Department	GradDat	First Job	Present Position, if known	Award/Honor
Author 1	Topar milent	o margarit	-14		The Line Inches
Natalie Simpson	DIS	Aug-94	University of Buffalo	same	Winner - Leaching Innovations Award, DSI Annual Meeting, 2004
Raymond L. Major	DIS	Aug-94	VPI	same	
Taeho Ahn	DIS	Dec-94	Soongsil University, Korea	same	and the second s
Jeffrey Schaller	DIS	May-96	Eastern CT State University	same	Winner - Best Theoretical Paper, DSI Annual Meeting, 2004
Robert C. Norris Jr.	DIS	Dec-97	University of North Florida	Government Consultant	
Jackie Rees	DIS	Aug-98	Purdue University	same	
Joni Jones	DIS	Aug-99	U. of Michigan-Bus. Sch.	University of South Florida	
Lawrence Nicholson	DIS	Aug-00	U. of West Indies, Jamaica	same	
Bulent Catay	DIS	Aug-01	Sabanci University, Turkey	same	
Erjiang Sun	DIS	Aug-01	Bus. Mgmt. Consulting	Nexant, Inc., San Francisco	
George Boger	DIS	Aug-01	Murray University-Kentucky	same	
Pauline Chin	DIS	Aug-01	Florida Atlantic University	same	
Cheryl Aasheim	DIS	Aug-02	Georgia Southern University	same	The state of the s
Haluk Demirkan	DIS	Aug-02	Arizona State University	same	
Kutsal Dogan	DIS	Aug-02	University of Texas-Dallas	same	
Yi Sun	DIS	Aug-03	California State Univ - San Marcos	same	
Qian Tang	DIS	Aug-04	National University of Singapore	same	# 10 mm and 10 m
Nihat Kasap	DIS	Aug-04	Sabanci University, Turkey	ѕате	The state of the s
Jonathan Smith	DIS	ABD	University of West Indies, Jamaica	same	6.1
Benjamin Blair	Economics	Dec-92	University of Nevada, Las Vegas	Mississippi State	
Dennis Weisman	Economics	May-93	Southwestern Bell	Kansas State	
Gulnaz Abdukakir	Economics	May-93	World Bank - Washington D.C.		
Laixun Zhao	Economics	Aug-93	Otaru Univ of Commerce (Japan)	Hokkaido U (Japan)	
Scott Makar	Economics	Aug-93	Holland and Knight Law Firm		
Daniel Leclair	Economics	Dec-93	University of Tampa	AACSB	
Jinyong Chen	Economics	Dec-93	W.F. Corron (Consulting)		
Jill Boylston	Economics	May-94	Hamline University	Univ of Fl, Inst Child & Health Policy	20
Jongmin Lee	Economics	Aug-94	Kangweon National Univ. (Korea)		
Srabana Gupta	Economics	Aug-94	Florida Atlantic University	Penn-State - Erie	
R. Dean Foreman	Economics	May-95	AT&T	Exxon Mobil	
Richard Hoffman	Economics	May-95	Public Defender's Office		

Name	Department	GradDat	First Job	Present Position, if known	Award/Honor
William Encinosa	Economics	May-95	Univ. of Michigan (postdoctoral)	Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality	
Cindy Houser	Economics	Aug-95	Texas A&M International-Laredo	Asian Development Bank	
Li Zhu	Economics	Aug-95	Kansas State, Kansas Health Inst.	American Century Investment	
Yikang Li	Economics	Aug-95	AT&T		
Jennifer Cobb	Economics	May-96	University of Florida	Disney	
Min Zhu	Economics	Aug-96	AT&T	JP Morgan Chase	
Thomas Fullerton	Economics	Aug-96	University of Texas-El Paso	in the state of th	
Margaret Byrne	Economics	Dec-96	Baylor Medical University	Pittsburgh Dept. of Medicine	
Makoto Okamura	Economics	May-97	Kobe City University (Japan)		and the second s
Shinji Yane	Economics	May-97	St. Andrew's University (Japan)		
Christopher Garmon	Economics	Aug-97	Federal Trade Commission		
Kayoko Ishikawa	Economics	May-98			
Michael Blake	Economics	May-98	Putnam, Hayes, Bartlett-Asia Pacific LTD		
Rajiv Lochan Sharma	Economics	Aug-98	University of Portland (Oregon)		
Jianmao Wang	Economics	Dec-98	Jiaotong Univ. (Minhang, China)		
Eric Chiang	Economics	May-02	New Mexico State	Florida Atlantic University	
Jackie Hamilton	Economics	May-02	University of Pittsburgh, Greensburg		
Iordanis Petsas	Economics	Aug-02	University of Scranton		
Raymonda Burgman	Economics	Aug-02	University of South Florida	DePauw University	
Samia Tavares	Economics	Aug-02	Rochester Institute of Technology		
Janice Hauge	Economics	Dec-02	University of North Texas		
Caprice Knapp	Economics	May-03	Governor's Office, Colorado		
Hsiu-Chuan Yeh	Economics	Aug-01	Ching-Yun Institute of Technology, Taiwan		
Huseyin Yildirim	Economics	May-00	Duke University		
James Dewey	Economics	Dec-98	UF, Bureau of Econ & Bus. Res		
Luis Gutierrez	Economics	May-00	Universidad del Rosario, Colombia		
Mark Jamison	Economics	May-01	University of Florida, PURC		
Mehmet Fuat Sener	Economics	May-99	Union College (New York)		
Sang Hyup Lee	Economics	May-99	Southeastern Louisiana University		
Scott Carrell	Economics	Aug-03	Air Force Academy		A LANGE TO THE PARTY OF THE PAR
Sourav Chatterjee	Economics	Aug-03	National Economic Research		
			Associates inc.		

Name	Department	GradDat	First Job	Present Position, if known	Award/Honor
Chifeng Dai	Economics	Aug-03	UF, Bureau of Eco & Bus. Res.		
Mary Davis	Economics	Aug-03	Univ of Massachusetts, Boston		
Deborah Fletcher	Economics	Aug-03	Miami University (Ohio)		
BongHoon Kim	Economics	May-04	POSCO Res Inst (Seoul, Korea)		
Salvador Martinez	Economics	Aug-04	Weber State		
Kornivica Pimukmanaskit	Economics	May-05	Isuzu in Thailand		and the second s
Mark Scanlan	Economics	Aug-05	Stephen f. Austin - Texas		
	L	5			THE PARTY OF PERSONS ASSESSMENT OF THE PERSONS ASSESSMENT OF THE PERSONS ASSESSMENT OF THE PERSONS ASSESSMENT OF
Kok-Chew Lim	FIRE	Aug-92	City Polytech, Hong Kong		
Edward O'Neal	FIRE	Aug-93	University of New Hampshire	Wake Forest University	
Ivy Locke	FIRE	Aug-93	Florida State University	Southeast Missouri State Univ (admin)	
Steven R. Cox	FIRE	Aug-93	University of Indiana		
Matthew T. Billett	FIRE	Dec-93	FDIC (DC)	Univ of Iowa (tenured)	
Charles Mann	FIRE	May-94		The second secon	
Craig Collins	FIRE	May-94	Florida A&M University		
Vinay Datar	FIRE	May-94	Seattle University	same (tenured)	
J.A. Garfinkel	FIRE	Aug-94	Loyola University	Univ of Iowa	
Richard Borgman	FIRE	Dec-94	Univ of Maine	same (tenured)	
Karin Roland	FIRE	Dec-95	Office of the Comptroller of the	Valdosta State University (GA)	
			Currency (DC)		
David Marcus	FIRE	Aug-96	Cornerstone Research-Boston	same	
Sorin Sorescu	FIRE	Aug-96	University of Houston	Texas A&M (tenured)	
Jeffrey Jewell	FIRE	Aug-97	David Lipscomb University		
Wei-Lin Liu	FIRE	Aug-97	Pace University	Michigan State Univ (Asst Prof)	
David Harrison	FIRE	Aug-98	University of Vermont	same (tenured)	
Richard Warr	FIRE	Dec-98	Kansas State Univ (Asst. Prof.)	NC State Univ	
Bartley Danielson	FIRE	May-99	DePaul University (Chicago)	same	
D. Edward Fee	FIRE	May-99	Michigan State U. (Asst. Prof.)	same	
Hsuan-Chi Chen	FIRE	Aug-99	Fujen University-Taiwan	same	And the state of the state with the state of
Shawn Thomas	FIRE	Aug-99	U. of Pittsburg (Asst Prof of Fin)	same	
Hui Yang	FIRE	Aug-00	Kansas State Univ (Asst. Prof.)	same	
Larry Fauver	FIRE	Aug-00	Univ of Miami (Asst Prof of Fin)	same	
Kasturi Rangan	FIRE	Aug-01	Case Western Univ (Asst. Prof)	same	
D. H. Zhang	FIRE	May-02	University of South Carolina	same	
Lei Zhou	FIRE	Aug-02	Miami University	same	

Name	Department GradDat	GradDat	First Job	Present Position, if known	Award/Honor
John Banko	FIRE	May-03	Northern Illinois University	same	
Elvan Aktas	FIRE	May-04	Florida Atlantic Univ (Asst. Prof.)	same	
Rick Borghesi	FIRE	Aug-04	Texas State University	same	
Rongbing Huang	FIRE	May-04	May-04 Kennesaw State University	same	
Ralph Scholten	FIRE	May-04	Comerstone Research	same	
Glenn Williams	FIRE	Aug-04	Florida Atlantic University	same	
Stanislav Nikolova	FIRE	Aug-04	George Mason University	same	
Qing (Grace) Hao	FIRE	Aug-05	Univ of Missour at Columbia	same	
Jannifer Burnett	Management	Aug-93	Univ of Alabama, Birmingham	Consultant	
Jeff Katz	Management Aug-93	Aug-93	Kansas State University	same	James Van Eggerly Chair
Steve Werner	Management	Aug-93	Management Aug-93 University of -Houston	same	
Neal P. Mero	Management May-94	May-94	Air Force Academy	University of Central Florida	
James R. Vanscotter	Management	Aug-94	Management Aug-94 USAF Institute of Technology	Louisiana State University	
Randall Harris	Management Dec-95	Dec-95	California State Univ-Stanislaus	same	
Kevin Banning	Management Aug-96	Aug-96	Auburn University-Montgomery	same	
Mary Jo Vaughn	Management	Dec-96	Management Dec-96 Mercer University	same	
David Scott Kiker	Management Dec-97		Univ South Carolina, Beaufort	Auburn University-Birmingham	
Timothy Gerald Degroot	Management Dec-97	Dec-97	Univ of Western Ontario (Canada)	Oklahoma State University	
Mary Bretek	Management	Aug-98	Management Aug-98 Auburn University-Birmingham	same	
Paula Silva	Management	Aug-98	Management Aug-98 University of New Mexico	Cal - State Northridge	
Amy Brownlee	Management Aug-99	Aug-99	Southern Methodist Univ, Dallas	Business owner - Consultant	
Vilmos Misangyi	Management	May-02	Management May-02 University of Delaware	same	Outstanding paper award from the Organizational Theory Division of the Academy of Management, 2004

Name	Denartment	GradDat	First Joh	Present Position if known	Award/Honor
Remus Ilies	Management May-03		Michigan State University	same	Meredith P. Crawford Fellowship, Human Resources Research Organization, 2003- 04; John C. Flanagan Award for Outstanding Student Contribution, Society for Industrial an Organizational Psychology National Conference, Toronto, ON, 2002
Angelo Fanelli	Management	Aug-03	HEC Paris	same	Outstanding paper award from the Organizational Theory Division of the Academy of Management, 2004
Misty Loughry	Management	Aug-01	Clemson University	same	
Eric Fong	Management	Aug-04	University of Alabama, Huntsville	same	
Frances Goeddeke	Management	Dec-04	United Auto Workers Int'l Headquarters	same	
Robert E. Wild	Management	Dec-04	Alligator Properties, LLP		
John Shaw	Management	Aug-04	Mississippi State University	same	
Christine Jackson	Management	Aug-04	Purdue University	same	
Greckhamer, Thomas	Management	Aug-05	Louisiana State University		and the second s
Piccolo, Ronald		Aug-05	University of Central Florida		
Seshan Ramaswami	Marketing	Dec-92	Hong Kong University	Singapore Management University	
Stephen Holden	Marketing	Dec-92	Groupe Essec (France)	Bond University	
Michelle DeMoss	Marketing	May-93	Stetson		
A.V.Muthukrishanan	Marketing	May-93	Fairleigh Dickinson University	HKUST (tenured)	
Robert W. Veryzer	Marketing	Aug-93	Rensselaer Polytech	same (tenured)	
Manuel C. Pontes	Marketing	Dec-93	Fairleigh Dickinson University	same (tenured)	
Elizabeth Moore-Shay	Marketing	May-94	Boston College	Notre Dame (tenured)	Honorable Mention in the 2001 Ferber Award for the best Journal of Consumer Research article based on a dissertation.
	60				

Name	Department	GradDat	First Job	Present Position, if known	Award/Honor
Amitabh R. Mungale	Marketing	Aug-94	Rutgers University	Georgetown	
Susan M. Fournier	Marketing	Dec-94	Harvard University	Dartmouth	
Tuan Pham	Marketing	Dec-94	Columbia University	same (tenured)	
Corinne Faure	Marketing	May-95	Virginia Polytechnic Institute	European Business School	
Luk Warlop	Marketing	May-95	Catholic Univ. Leuven (France)	same (tenured)	
Sandy Jap	Marketing	Aug-95	MIT	Emory (tenured)	
Prasad Naik	Marketing	May-96	Univ. of California-Davis	same (tenured)	Winner, 1999 Frank M. Bass Award for the best Marketing Science paper based on a
Sonja Radas	Marketing	Dec-96	Washington University St. Louis	University of Zagreb	
Rita Clay McMillan	Marketing	May-97	Texas A&M University	Davidson	Promotion of the control of the cont
Frances G. Hollman	Marketing	Dec-97	Pennsylvania State University	same	
Hyongjae Rhee	Marketing	Dec-97	Kookmin University (Korea)	same	The second secon
Andre Carlos Menck	Marketing	May-98	Universidade Federal De Uberlandia (Brazil)	same (tenured)	
Anne Stringfellow	Marketing	May-98	Thunderbird University	same	
Stacy Lynn Wood	Marketing	May-98	University of South Carolina	same	
John Walter Pracejus	Marketing	Aug-98	University of Alberta (Canada)	same (tenured)	
Michael Guiry	Marketing	Aug-98	SUNY-New Paltz	same	
Stijn M.J. Van Osseler	Marketing	Aug-98	University of Chicago	Erazmus (Chaired Full Professor)	Honorable Mention in the 1999
				•	American Marketing
					Association Doctoral
					Dissertation Award
					Ferber Award for the best
					Journal of Consumer Research
					article based on a dissertation.
Kevin Bradford	Marketing	May-99	Notre Dame	same	
Norma Mendoza	Marketing	Dec-99	University of Arkansas	same	
Americans Reed II	Marketing	May-00	University of PA, Wharton	same	
Lisa Bolton	Marketing	May-00	University of PA, Wharton	same	Winner, 2000 American
					Marketing Association
					Doctoral Dissertation Award
					compennon

Name	Department GradDat	GradDat	First Job	Present Position, if known	Award/Honor
Amitav Chakravarti	Marketing	Aug-02	Aug-02 New York University	same	
Hayden Noel	Marketing	Dec-02	Dec-02 Baruch College	same	
Marcus daCunha	Marketing	Aug-03	Aug-03 University of Washington	same	A THE CONTRACT OF THE CONTRACT
B. Kaltchevia	Marketing	May-04	May-04 University of Miami	same	4 47 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -
Tom Meyvis	Marketing	May-01	May-01 New York University	same	Winner, 2002 American
					Marketing Association
					Doctoral Dissertation Award
					competition.
Eduardo Andrade	Marketing	May-04	May-04 UC-Berkeley		
Tim Silk	Marketing	May-04	May-04 University South Carolina		
YuBo Chen	Marketing	Aug-04	Aug-04 University of Arizona	THE TAX AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE PART	4 (1) 1
Vanhouche, Wouter	Marketing	Aug-05	Aug-05 University of South Florida		
Wang, Qi	Marketing	Aug-05	Aug-05 SUNY - Binghamtom		
Els deWilde	Marketing	ABD	HEC Montreal	same	
Suzanne Nasco	Marketing	ABD	Southern Illinois	same	

Warrington College of Business Administration Program Review April 9, 2004

Report Available Upon Request

Warrington College of Business Administration Transition Report

December, 2004

An Introduction to the Warrington College of Business Administration December, 2004

I. Major achievements and particular strengths in the College during the past three years.

To provide the appropriate context for the observations and claims that follow, one should refer to the College's Strategic Framework (attached) which sets the stage with a mission statement as well as a statement of shared purposes and values and measures of success.

Strengths of the College

1. Faculty

Research Productivity: Top ten in the country.

Teaching Skills: Disproportionate number of teaching awardees.

2. Students

Qualifications: Among best in country.

Activism: National awards; University recognition.

Value Added: National leader in placement success in PhD program and specialty Masters programs.

3. Programs

Design: Nationally recognized for innovativeness.

Demand: Program reputation has driven demand beyond capacity in undergraduate program and has rapidly increased the headcount in external graduate programs.

4. Productivity

Campus and national cohort leader in measures of productivity such as student credit hours/faculty, state funds/student credit hour, etc.

5. Management

Innovative and Goal Oriented: Programmatic and budgetary changes are national leaders; Effective operation under several different central models.

All of these observations/claims can be substantiated by relevant sources and/or data.

Achievements in the last few years

1. External evaluations (attached)

In the top twenty US public business colleges across all programs.

In the top ten US public business colleges across all faculty in terms of research productivity.

Among the leading business schools internationally (depends on source, ranking varies from #4 in the world in faculty quality [The Economist] to #37, MBA program among all US program [Financial Times].

Numerous national research recognitions for individual faculty.

2. Faculty

Quality. Has been enhanced witness the various productivity measures. Twenty-one tenure track faculty hires in last five years and all but one graduated from peer school or better.

Retention. An important achievement associated with continuing our quality improvement with productive (and moveable) faculty. The College faculty had sixteen external offers in the past five years and it successfully countered in all but one case. Most of the funding required for these counters (\$510,000) came from the College's available rate.

3. Programs

Online BSBA Program. Initiated the State's first internet based undergraduate program to provide access throughout the State. Currently have 300 students in the program.

South Florida MBA. Opened the State's first public off campus "store front" activity with the program initial class of 40 at a Ft. Lauderdale site this Fall.

Specialized Masters. The College has established "niche" graduate programs over the last several years and has grown from one program (MAC) with 225 headcount to seven programs with over 500 headcount.

Undergraduate Program. Several new initiatives underway including three sponsored overseas sites, writing classes (2 new faculty), leadership academy, and Warrington Welcome course (15 sections per term).

4. Management

Enrollment management. In the face of increasing demand in the undergraduate program and static State resources, the College developed an undergraduate enrollment management plan which has reduced College major headcount from 6500 to 5000.

Budget model. The College developed an output based budget model to take the place of the "historical" model previously imposed. The model was proposed to and accepted by central administration as basis for 05-06 budget. This could be the most important recent achievement.

5. Development

New building. The College successfully blended private and public funds to build a new 35,000 square foot building which now houses the Fisher School of Accounting.

Endowment. Continued growth in spite of market conditions. Now one of the best endowed public schools in the relevant peer set.

II. Indicate the goals of the College/Center in the next few years.

Short-term (unordered)

1. Retain High Quality Faculty

The College is committed to competing for, attracting and retaining the best faculty, and we are prepared to accept a smaller faculty size in order to have enough rate to retain such faculty. While the College would prefer to provide sufficient compensation to keep faculty out of the market, this is apparently not possible within the current state budget environment. High quality research oriented senior faculty are in high demand and short supply. It is generally recognized that the state provides inadequate annual adjustments and we are constantly under pressure from schools who are attempting to attract our faculty. If adequate rate is not available, the College will become smaller with fewer faculty and as a result there would be fewer undergraduate electives.

2. Expand Graduate Enrollments

The College is committed to expanding graduate enrollments toward 2000 graduate students. To facilitate this growth (assuming quality remains the same or increases), the College will expand the specialized masters, grow the traditional MBA programs, and continue to expand external MBA opportunities, including the internet MBA options. The traditional MBA has excess capacity but this is the most expensive growth option since competing for the best students with significant work experience and high GMAT scores is very expensive. However, we need to expand our traditional MBA program to

graduate between 150 and 250 students per year (currently, 130) in order to compete in the top ten.

A new graduate studies building is a priority in order to provide quality classrooms, breakout rooms, and adequate space for career resources and student services for our graduate students.

3. Maintain PhD Program

Our PhD program is facing severe difficulty in two areas: adequate tuition waivers, and funds for stipends. While the College has continued to attract the best students and we have outstanding placements, we are facing severe resource pressures. We may not have sufficient funds to maintain program quality and size. We may have to cut faculty size to obtain these funds. The College has supported the PhD program by reducing faculty summer teaching opportunities and has assigned these activities to PhD students. We have exhausted this source and now must obtain additional resources or shrink the PhD program or reduce quality, or maintain quality and size but accept a smaller faculty.

4. Improve faculty resources

Given the double edged drop in endowment performance and the unrestored budget cuts, the resources to support faculty have declined. The College needs to expand funds to support faculty research budgets, doctoral students, expand technology support and to maintain a research environment. This is critical to attracting and retaining a high quality research faculty. The College will either seek to expand funds or slow faculty recruitment to maintain an adequate balance.

Long Run (unordered)

1. Recover from Budget Cuts

Recover \$1.5 million budget cut. In the long run this is necessary if the College is to be in a position to generate resources to support College and University programs. The College currently has return on investment of about 120%. This means the \$1.5 million cut to the College budget resulted in a loss to the University of Florida in excess of \$1.8 million, (every \$1 increase in the College's budget produces an additional \$1.20 for the University above the budget cost).

2. Capital Campaign

The College's budget target for the campaign is \$100 million. This money will be used to fund forty new endowed professors, adding a graduate studies building, seeking funds to renovate Matherly Hall, funding opportunities for our honors undergraduate program, and endowing several centers. With an adequate development staff and based on performance in prior campaigns, the College should exceed its goal by about 30% and raise between \$125 and \$135 million.

3. Increase Faculty Size

The College needs to increase faculty size and this will be accomplished only by having adequate state budget to fund the faculty size increase from 90 faculty to 100 faculty. Salary fringes and support dollars to attract 10 new junior faculty would be about \$2.5 million. This increase to 100 will still place us in the bottom (sixteenth position) when compared to our peer group of fifteen best public university business schools. This growth is key to expanding research productivity (which is at the top of our peer group on a per faculty basis) and properly servicing our expanding graduate programs.

4. Fund a Graduate Studies Building

The Graduate Studies Building is essential if we are to expand graduate enrollments and provide space for technology, breakout rooms, classrooms, space for a graduate career resource center, and student services for our MBA and specialized masters. At present, our graduate student classrooms and space are utilized seven days a week and with a utilization rate of 100% between 8 a.m. and 6 p.m. We would need to raise funds for a 35,000 gross square foot building.

5. Expand PhD Program

The College at present has a significant competitive advantage in attracting, and placing our PhD graduates. This competitive advantage is based on having a high quality research oriented faculty, and having recognized faculty discipline leaders. By expanding our PhD funding to \$2 million we could attract additional high quality PhD students, and expand our placements. We need to move from our current rate of PhD's for faculty of about .9 to a ratio of one to one or preferably 1.2 to 1.0. The sources of funding are expanding the state funding, expanding endowment funds through endowing a Graduate School of Business, or developing a DBA program and use the resources generated to fund the PhD programs.

III. Indicate the major challenges facing the College and the major achievements in the College for the past two years.

- 1. Acquire the State funding to fill the gap between current support and the funding necessary to maintain the quality attributes of the College and the student demand for business education.
- 2. Attain a rational budget model such that the College's performance and output impact directly and in an understandable manner on the fund allocation process.
- 3. Plan and implement physical plant expansion and improvement. The technology and setting for the research and teaching tasks have become more central to the outcomes and the College must keep its plant current or lose faculty and students to peers.

- 4. Develop and implement a comprehensive pedagogical model that integrates the diverse programs and platforms of the College into a strategic plan that is fundable and consistent with the objectives of the University.
- 5. Development of and participate in an outcome/assessment process that encourages and accommodates improvement while insisting on high quality at a minimum.
- IV. Indicate the major foci of developments for which you would request resources from this office in the next year or two.

The major foci of developments are articulated in the goals of the College (responses to question II). These foci complement the strengths of the College and meet the challenges to the College's aspiration to be one of the ten best public business schools in the country.

Attachments (available upon request):

Warrington College of Business Faculty/Program Rankings & Awards

Warrington College of Business Administration 2003 Strategic Framework

Tuition Dollars

00-01		Out of State	In State
	Grad	48.4%	51.6%
	Ugrad	20.5%	79.5%
	Total	29.1%	70.9%
04-05			
	Grad	59.4%	40.6%
	Ugrad	29.5%	70.5%
	Total	39.8%	60.2%

Changes in funding sources 00-01 to 04-05

-6.8%	FTE (Total)	-3.8%
-16.9%	FTE (Funding Total)	-16.6%
-3.2%		
-16.5%		
+64.5%		
+40.7%		
+48.1%		
	-16.9% -3.2% -16.5% +64.5% +40.7%	-16.9% FTE (Funding Total) -3.2% -16.5% +64.5% +40.7%

WARRINGTON COLLEGE OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION REVENUE GENERATION BY DEGREE LEVEL

_	UNDERGRA (Upper Div		GRADUATE (Grad 1)
TUITION REVENUE Number of Students per Class Credits SCH	32 <u>4</u> 128	50 <u>4</u> 200	32 <u>2</u> 64
Tuition & Fees per Credit (2005-06 est.)	101.91	101.91	257.27
Revenue per Class (assumes all in-state students)	13,044.48	20,382.00	16,465.28
Load per Faculty per Year	3.5	3.5	5.0
Tuition Revenue Per Faculty Load	45,655.68	71,337.00	82,326.40
FTE REVENUE SCH per Class Load per Faculty Per Year Total SCH	128 <u>3.5</u> 448	200 <u>3.5</u> 700	64 <u>5.0</u> 320
FTE per SCH	40	40	32
FTE per Faculty	11.2	17.5	10.0
Dollars per FTE	8,454	8,454	14,162
FTE Revenue per Faculty Load	94,684.80	147,945.00	141,620.00
TOTAL REVENUE Tuition Revenue Per Faculty Load FTE Revenue per Faculty Load	45,655.68 94,684.80	71,337.00 147,945.00	141,620.00
TOTAL REVENUE PER FACULTY LOAD	140,340.48	219,282.00	223,946.40

July 12, 2005

TUITION GENERATED BY COURSE TYPE AND DEPARTMENT FISCAL YEAR 2004-05

	ATG	BUS	DIS	ECO	FIN	<u>MGT</u>	<u>MKT</u>	TOTAL.
FTE Funding								
Lower Division	1,440,962	23,314		2,673,826			40,900	4,179,002
Upper Division	940,296	132,305	1,650,221	2,519,503	5,197,731	5,052,322	4,671,258	20,163,635
Graduate	1,884,431	736,424	1,235,635	539,926	1,902,134	1,490,551	718,722	8,507,822
Graduate II	122,528	17,080	153,717	398,030	337,880	170,797	250,997	1,451,028
Total	4,388,217	909,123	3,039,572	6,131,286	7,437,744	6,713,669	5,681,876	34,301,487
Percentages	12.79%	2.65%	8.86%	17.87%	21.68%	19.57%	16.56%	100.00%
Tuition Funding								
Lower Division	1,402,211	22,687		2,601,920			39,800	4,066,618
Upper Division	576,778	81,156	1,012,247	1,545,465	3,188,292	3,099,098	2,865,353	12,368,389
Graduate	1,741,964	680,749	1,142,218	499,107	1,758,328	1,377,861	664,384	7,864,610
Graduate II	67,502	9,409_	84,684	219,280	186,142	94,094	138,277	799,389
Total	3,788,455	794,001	2,239,149	4,865,771	5,132,762	4,571,053	3,707,815	25,099,006
Percentages	15.09%	3.16%	8.92%	19.39%	20.45%	18.21%	14.77%	100.00%
GRAND TOTALS	8,176,672	1,703,125	5,278,721	10,997,057	12,570,506	11,284,722	9,389,691	59,400,493
PERCENTAGES	13.77%	2.87%	8.89%	18.51%	21.16%	19.00%	15.81%	100.00%

NOTE: Tuition includes all fees in addition to matriculation.

6/20/2005

Undergraduate: Headcount, Fall Terms

	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002		2004	2005	2006	2007
RARA	1.193	1,392	1,798	2,188	2,289	ı	1,134	795	775	775
BSBA	3.468	3.644	3,807	3,674	3,517	3,529	3,242	3,255	3,125	3,125
BSBA (DCF on-hook)							211	300	345	385
BSAC	611	649	597	809	629	719	709	700	710	720
BSBA (DCE, off-book)					39	126				
Ugrad Total	5,272	5,685	6,202	6,470	6,504	5,956	5,296	5,050	4,955	5,005

Graduate Programs: Headcount, Fall Terms

	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
MBA	299	313	224	121	011	134	131	107	125	140
MBA (DCE, on-book)							39	192	370	375
MACC	248	202	179	203	221	196	242	230	235	240
MS-Dis	84	142	153	150	143	141	105	120	125	130
MS-Man	0	0	88	82	65	74	16	110	110	110
MA-Int Bus	0	0	51	63	55	56	74	77	80	80
MS-Fin	0	0	0	22	25	8	32	25	27	30
MS-Real Est	15	24	28	27	27	22	28	30	30	30
Subtotal	66	991	320	344	315	311	336	362	372	380
PhD	74	84	80	92	94	96	90	95	100	100
Other		44	2	6	-	9	2	ν.	5	2
E	721	000	808	760	741	743	843	991	1207	1240
Crad Total	17/	609	600	2		1)			- -
MBA (DCE off-book)	135	141	188	271	354	491	491	341	350	375

Undergraduate: Student Credit Hours, Summer, Fall, Spring

	86-26	66-86	00-66	00-01	01-02	02-03	03-04	04-05	05-06	20-90
BABA	16,356	17,091	19,629	24,277	29,337	28,218	19,828	6,356 17,091 19,629 24,277 29,337 28,218 19,828 13,365 9,500 9,200	9,500	9,200
BSBA	55,701	55,701 56,428 57,502 57,741 55,464 52,483 54,826	57,502	57,741	55,464	52,483	54,826	54,807	54,807 55,026 52,000	52,000
BSBA (DCE, on-book)								4,018	11,000	13,500
	13,587	10,970	10,954	10,232	10,952	11,392 12,657	12,657	12,293	12,200	12,200 12,500
	42,348 4	41,669		8,709	40,701	40,701 38,962 40,768	40,768	42,289	42,289 44,000 45,000	45,000
Ugrad Total	127,992	126,158	125,243	130,959	136,454	131,055	127,992 126,158 125,243 130,959 136,454 131,055 128,079		126,772 131,726 132,200	132,200
BSBA (DCE off-book)	444	698	869 1,146 1,233 1,229 3,903 7,087	1,233	1,229	3,903	7,087	5,570		

Graduate Programs: Student Credit Hours, Summer, Fall, Spring

	86-26	66-86	00-66	00-01	01-02	02-03	03-04	04-05	05-06	20-90
MBA	8,579	9,374	7777	9/9'9	3,262	2,859	3,329	3,274	2,848	3,400
MBA (DCE, on-book)								1,596	4,076	6262
MAcc	3,776	4,096	4,364	3,547	4,623	4,340	4,167	4,233	4,200	4,300
MS-Dis	910	2,004	2,608	2,957	2,913	1	2,414	1,929	2,220	2,313
MS-Man	0	0	0	2,210	2,322		2,614	2,645	3,080	3,080
MA-Int Bus	0	0	1,245	1,546	1,710		1,118	1,439	1,502	1,560
MS-Fin	0	0	0	0	580	787	572	006	725	783
MS-RealEst	271	386	471	544	523		587	589	630	630
Subtotal	1,181	2,390	4,324	7,257	8,048	7,066	7,305	7,502	8,157	8,366
PhD	1,707	1,324	1,576	1,611	1,635	1,803	1,987	1,901	2,000	2,050
Other	1,548	1,361	3,593	3,634	3,322	3,051	3,475	3,278	3,300	3,400
Grad Total	16,791	18,545	23,634	22,725	20,890	19,119	20,263	21,784	24,581	27,778
MBA (DCE, off-book)	511	999	1,234	3,809	7,436	9,590	11,832	12,920	000'6	9,250

Warrington College of Business

Faculty/Program Rankings and Awards

June 23, 2005

The following report contains rankings information on the faculty and programs of the Warrington College of Business collected during 2004. These rankings are taken from the most widely recognized media sources (domestic and international), as well as academic sources, whether they be individual or institutional research studies. They evaluate the quality of the College and its programmatic components, as well as faculty research productivity, strength in functional areas of business.

Some of these rankings use ratings assigned by educators at peer schools, deans, program heads, alumni and corporate recruiters. Others use quantitative comparable measures, such as citation analysis, GMAT scores, starting salaries, etc. Several use a combination of both. The basis for each ranking assigned is noted in the individual survey.

The set of peer schools with which we identify is included in these tables to gauge our effectiveness and strength in comparison with those schools.

This report also contains information on awards and recognitions the faculty received in 2004, as well as a sample of our doctoral placements (since 1998) that reflect our program and faculty strength.

Highlights of the U.S. News & World Report 2006 MBA Rankings

- All AACSB International-accredited schools were surveyed. Of those, 318 responded, of which 189 provided the needed data. Rankings are based on a weighted average of these quality indicators:
 - o Quality assessment: Business school deans, directors of accredited programs, and corporate recruiters were asked to rate programs.
 - o Specialty rankings: These rankings are based solely on ratings by educators at peer schools. The schools receiving the most votes appear on the list.
 - o Placement success
 - o Student selectivity
- UF's overall ranking:
 - Overall: #42
 - Among Publics: #22

ne Florida MBA is also one of only a few select programs that were ranked among the Top 30 in four or more of the are specialty categories, based on the peer assessment of our world-class faculty:

Specialty Rankings Overall:

• #12 in Marketing

• #19 in Accounting

• #21 in Finance

• #28 in Management

Among Publics:

#4 in Marketing

#8 in Accounting

#5 in Finance

#13 in Management

ow UF did in comparison to its peers:

**Tie *Not Ranked

U.S. News Best				
Graduate Programs Overall	Accounting	Finance	Marketing	Management
UC Berkeley 6	Texas 3	UC Berkeley 10	Michigan 3	Michigan 3
Michigan 10	Illinois 4	Michigan 11	UC Berkeley 8	UC Berkeley 9
Texas** 18 Washington** 18	Michigan 5	Ohio State 16	Texas 9	Indiana 16
North Carolina** 21	North Carolina 9	Texas 18	FLORIDA** 12 North Carolina**	North Carolina 18
Ohio State** 21	Indiana 13	Indiana 19	12	Texas 19
Minnesota** 23 Purdue** 23	Ohio State 15** UC Berkeley 15**	FLORIDA** 21 Wisconsin** 21	Indiana** 18 Wisconsin** 18	Purdue 21
Indiana** 27 Illinois** 27	FLORIDA 19	Illinois 24	Minnesota 23	Minnesota 22
Michigan St. 32	Washington 22 Wisconsin 23	Arizona* Iowa*	Illinois 24	Illinois 25 Ohio State 25**
Wisconsin** 37 Iowa **37	Arizona** 27 Iowa** 27	Michigan St.* Minnesota*	Purdue 25	
Penn State** 37		North Carolina*	Arizona*	FLORIDA 28
Arizona 40 FLORIDA 42	Michigan St. 30	Penn State* Purdue* Washington*	Iowa* Michigan St.* Penn State*	Arizona* Iowa* Michigan St.*
			Purdue* Washington*	Penn State* Washington*

Highlights of the Financial Times 2004 EMBA Rankings

- Financial Times (widely considered The Wall Street Journal of Europe and the UK), selected 88 business schools worldwide as candidates to be included in their 2004 top 75 EMBA ranking. Of the 88 schools, 81 had sufficient response rate (20 percent of alumni and a minimum of 20 responses in total) to be considered for the ranking of the top 75 programs. Ph.D. rankings are based on placements at FT's Top 50 business schools.
- The rank of a school is based on its performance in three areas:
 - o Career progress of the alumni (both in terms of salary and rank)
 - School's diversity and international experience it offers
 - o School's intellectual output and research
- UF's overall ranking:
 - o Worldwide: #69
 - o In the U.S.
 - Overall: #37
 - Among Publics: #15
 - Only ranked school in Florida
- Research ranking:
 - o Worldwide: #16
 - In the U.S.
 - Overall: #14
 - Among Publics: #5
- PHD ranking:
 - o Worldwide: #21
 - o In the U.S.
 - Overall: #11
 - Among Publics: #6
- How UF did in comparison to its peers:

Financi	al Times 2004 EMBA Ra	nkings
Overall Rank	Research Rank	PHD ranking
Purdue 11	North Carolina 6	Illinois 4
Illinois 26	Michigan State 13	Purdue 5
Texas 34	FLORIDA 16	Texas 7
Wisconsin 41	Illinois 17	Michigan State 15
North Carolina 44	Minnesota 21	FLORIDA 21
Michigan State 53	Purdue 25	Minnesota 27
Minnesota 55	Wisconsin 30	North Carolina 32
FLORIDA** 69	Iowa 37	Iowa 37
Iowa** 69	Texas 38	Wisconsin 41
Arizona*	Arizona*	Arizona*
UC Berkeley*	UC Berkeley*	UC Berkeley*
Indiana*	Indiana*	Indiana*
Michigan*	Michigan *	Michigan
Ohio State *	Ohio State*	Ohio State*
Penn State*	Penn State*	Penn State*
Washington*	Washington*	Washington*

Highlights of the U.S. News & World Report 2004 MBA Rankings

- All AACSB International-accredited schools were surveyed. Of those, 293 responded, of which 185 provided the needed data. Rankings are based on a weighted average of these quality indicators:
 - o Quality assessment: Business school deans, directors of accredited programs, and corporate recruiters were asked to rate programs.
 - Specialty rankings: These rankings are based solely on ratings by educators at peer schools. The schools receiving the most votes appear on the list.
 - Placement success
 - o Student selectivity
- UF's overall ranking:
 - Overall: #53
 - Among Publics: #27
- UF is one of only 23 schools that were ranked among the Top 25 in three of the core departmental categories (accounting, finance, and marketing).
- Specialty Rankings Overall:
 - #14 in Marketing
 - #18 in Accounting
 - #24 in Finance
 - Among Publics:
 - #5 in Marketing
 - #7 in Accounting
 - #9 in Finance
- How UF did in comparison to its peers:

Programs Overall	Accounting	Finance	Marketing
UC Berkeley 8	Texas 2	UC Berkeley 9	Michigan 7
Michigan 10	Illinois 4	Michigan 12	UC Berkeley 8
Ohio State 20	Michigan 5	Texas 16	Texas 12
Minnesota** 21 North Carolina** 21	North Carolina 10	Indiana 19	FLORIDA 14
Indiana** 23 Illinois** 23 Texas** 23	Indiana 12	Ohio State 22	Wisconsin 16
Purdue** 27 Washington** 27	Ohio State 15	Illinois 23	Indiana 18
Michigan St. 30	FLORIDA** 18	FLORIDA 24	North Carolina 19
	Washington** 18		The second residence of
Arizona 35	UC Berkeley 21	Arizona* Iowa*	Minnesota 22
Wisconsin 36	Wisconsin 24	Michigan St.* Minnesota*	Illinois** 25 Ohio State** 25
Penn State 45	Iowa** 28 Michigan St.** 28	North Carolina* Penn State*	Arizona* Iowa*
FLORIDA 53	Arizona* Minnesota*	Purdue* Washington*	Michigan St.* Penn State*
Iowa 55	Penn State* Purdue*	Wisconsin*	Purdue* Washington*

ot Ranked Tie

Highlights of the USNews & World Report 2004 Undergraduate Rankings

- U.S.News surveyed deans and senior faculty at AACSB International-accredited undergraduate business programs. The rankings are based solely on this survey. Schools offering any courses in a specialty are eligible to be ranked; the schools receiving the most mentions in each appear.
- UF's ranking:

• Overall: #27

• Among Publics: #17

- Specialty rankings:
 - Overall:
 - #7 in Accounting
 - #8 in Marketing
 - #8 in Real Estate
 - #13 in Finance
 - #16 in Management Information Systems (DIS)
 - #21 in Management
 - Among Publics:
 - #4 in Accounting
 - #6 in Marketing
 - #7 in Real Estate
 - #8 in Finance
 - #12 in Management Information Systems (DIS)
 - #15 in Management
- How UF did in comparison to its peers:

t Undergraduate	and the second	***		Management	No. of Contrast	marrie
Programs	Accounting	Finance	Management	Information Systems	Marketing	Real Estate
UC.Berkeley 3	Texas 1	Michigan 3	Michigan 2	Arizona** 3 Texas** 3	Michigan 2	Wisconsin 2
orth Carolina** 6 Texas** 6	Illinois 2	UC Berkeley 4	UC Berkeley 3	Minnesota 5	Texas 3	UC Berkeley 3
Indiana** 11 Illinois** 11	Michigan 4	Texas 6	Indiana 5	Michigan 8	UC Berkeley 4	Illinois 5
Minnesota** 14 Wisconsin** 14	FLORIDA 7	Indiana** 7 Ohio State ** 7	North Carolina 6	Indiana 9	North Carolina 5	Ohio State 7
Ohio State** 17 Purdue** 17	Indiana 8		Texas 7	UC Berkeley 11	Indiana 7	FLORIDA 8
fichigan St. ** 20 Penn State** 20 Arizona** 20	UC Berkeley 9	North Carolina 9	Wisconsin 9	Purdue 13	FLORIDA 8	Penn State** 10 Michigan** 10
LORIDA** 25	North Carolina 12	FLORIDA** 13	Ohio State 11	FLORIDA 16	Wisconsin 9	Indiana 12
		Wisconsin** 13				
Vashington** 25	Ohio State 13	Washington 15	Michigan St.** 15 Penn State** 15 Illinois** 15	Illinois* Iowa* North Carolina*	Peun State 10	Arizona* Iowa* North Carolina*
	Iowa 15	Illinois 16	Minnesota 19	Ohio State* Penn State*	Illinois 12	Michigan St.* Minnesota*
	Michigan St.** 17 Washington** 17	Penn State** 21 Purdue** 21 Minnesota** 21	FLORIDA** 21 Iowa** 21 Washington** 21*	Michigan St.* Washington* Wisconsin*	Ohio State 15	Purdue* Texas* Washington*
	Wisconsin 20	Iowa*	Arizona*		Minnesota 16	
	Arizona 21	Michigan St.*	Purdue*	Printed and All Control	Michigan St.** 18	70 34 11
	Penn State 24				Arizona** 18	
	Minnesota 25				Iowa* Purdue*	
	Pardue*				Washington*	

ot Ranked

Highlights of the 2003 Academic Assessment Services Faculty Productivity Ranking

- Academic Assessment Services performed a citation study of almost 5,000 full-time faculty members with
 doctoral degrees at 51 leading U.S. schools across eight disciplines (accounting, economics, finance,
 information systems, marketing, management science, organizational behavior, and strategy). Full results were
 presented at the International Business and Economic Research Conference.
- Specialty rankings:
 - Overall:
 - #5 in Marketing
 - #22 Finance
 - #22 in Organizational Behavior
 - #26 in Economics
 - #29 in Management Science
 - Among Publics:
 - #1 in Marketing
 - #6 in Finance
 - #10 in Economics
 - #10 in Organizational Behavior
 - #11 in Management Science
- How UF did in comparison to its peers:

	2003 /	Academic As	sessment Servi	ces Faculty Pro	ductivity Rank	cing	
Accounting	Economics	Finance	Info Systems	Marketing	Management Science	OB	Strategy
Michigan 9	Berkeley 2	Berkeley 12	Berkeley 2	FLORIDA 5	Ohio State 8	Berkeley 1	Berkeley 1
Berkeley 12	Michigan 15	Ohio State 15	Arizona 14	North Carolina 11	North Carolina 12	Michigan 6	Ohio State 2
North Carolina 14	Arizona 25	Purdue 17	North Carolina 15	Michigan 14	Wisconsin 15	Iowa 8	Penn 3
Iowa 15	Indiana 20	Minnesota 21	Indiana 16	Minnesota 17	Berkeley 17	Indiana 11	North Carolina 8
Penn 20	Iowa 21	FLORIDA 22	Texas 17	Penn 19	Texas 19	North Carolina A 12	Purdue 15
Minnesota 21	Illinois 23	Illinois 24	Minnesota 21	Iowa 20	Purdue 20	Texas 15	Minnesota 17
Arizona 24	FLORIDA 26	Michigan 26	Michigan 22	Illinois 23	Indiana 21	Michigan State 17	Michigan 18
Texas 26	Purdue 30	North Carolina 27	Illinois 23	Texas 27	Iowa 24	Arizona 21	Indiana 22
FLORIDA*	Michigan State*	Texas 28	Michigan State 28	Arizona 28	Arizona 25	FLORIDA 22	Texas 30
Illinois*	Minnesota*	Arizona*	Penn 30	Wisconsin 30	FLORIDA 29	Penn 23	Arizona*
Indiana*	North Carolina	Indiana*	FLORIDA*	Berkeley*	Michigan State 44	Illinois 24	FLORIDA*
Michigan State*	Ohio State*	Iowa*	Iowa*	Indiana*	Illinois*	Wisconsin 25	Illinois*
Ohio State*	Penn*	Michigan State*	Ohio State*	Michigan State*	Michigan*	Ohio State*	Iowa*
Purdue*	Texas*	Penn*	Purdue*	Ohio State*	Minnesota*	Purdue*	Michigan State*
Wisconsin*	Wisconsin*	Wisconsin*	Wisconsin*	Purdue*	Penn*	Minnesota*	Wisconsin*

ashington not included in survey

ot ranked lie

Highlights of the Which MBA? (The Economist) 2004 MBA Rankings

thoritative insight and opinion on international news, politics, business, finance, science and technology, as well as reviews of cultural trends and industry, business and country surveys. The Economist Intelligence Unit provides intinuously updated, comprehensive analysis for senior global executives, including *Which MBA*?, an extensive rectory of the best MBA courses worldwide.

- The rankings for the Economist Intelligence Unit rankings are based on:
 - o Data provided by business schools with full-time MBA programs
 - Opinions of students and alumni who graduated within the last three years
- UF's overall ranking:
 - o Worldwide: #89
 - o In the U.S.
 - Overall: #47
 - Among Publics: #22
 - Only ranked school in Florida
- Faculty quality:
 - o Worldwide: #4
 - o In the U.S.
 - Overall: #1
 - Among Publics: #1
- How UF did in comparison to its peers:

Which MBA? 2004					
Overall Rank	Faculty Rank				
Michigan 10	FLORIDA 1				
UC Berkeley 17	Iowa 5				
Ohio State 19	Arizona 8				
Illinois 23	Michigan 14				
North Carolina 24	Illinois 16				
Iowa 25	UC Berkeley 18				
Texas 28	Wisconsin 22				
Minnesota 29	North Carolina 25				
Penn State 32	Ohio State 26				
Purdue 33	Penn State 27				
Indiana 34	Minnesota 30				
Wisconsin 40	Purdue 31				
Arizona 46	Indiana 36				
FLORIDA 47	Texas 46				
Michigan State*	Michigan State*				
Washington*	Washington*				

ot Ranked

Highlights of The Wall Street Journal 2004 MBA Recruiters Rankings

The Wall Street Journal/Harris Interactive Business School Year 4 Survey is based on the opinions of 2,849 MBA recruiters who hire full-time business-school graduates. For the first time, WSJ published two separate rankings for U.S. schools, in an attempt to group the 63 North American schools according to where recruiters tend to recruit, which yielded a "national" and a "regional" ranking.

UF's ranking:

• Overall Regional: #21

• Among U.S. Regional: #19

• Among U.S. Regional Publics: #9

How UF did in comparison to its peers:

	<i>reet Journal</i> s Rankings 2004
National Rank	Regional Rank
Michigan 1	Purdue 1
North Carolina 11	Ohio State 2
UC Berkeley 15	Michigan State 8
Texas 16	Indiana 13
	Penn State 14
	FLORIDA 21
	Washington 29
	Minnesota 32
	Wisconsin 33
	Illinois 40

rizona* wa*

ot Ranked

ighlights of the University of Texas-Dallas 2004 Top 100 B-Schools Research Productivity Rankings

- The rank of a school is based on publications in 22 leading journals in major business disciplines between 2000-2004
- UF's overall ranking:

o Worldwide: #30

o In the U.S.

• Overall: #30

• Among Publics: #16

- o Only school in Florida ranked in Top 50
- How UF did in comparison to its peers:

UT 2004 Top 100 B-Schools
Michigan 10
Texas 11
North Carolina 15
Washington 17
Penn State 18
Illinois 19
Ohio State 20
Indiana 21
Purdue 24
Minnesota 25
UC Berkeley 27
Wisconsin 29
FLORIDA 30
Michigan State 31
Arizona 51
Iowa 60

Faculty Awards

The faculty have garnered evidence of performance not only in the rankings information that is summarized elsewhere but also in the recognitions afforded them. The awards and recognitions below have been earned by faculty in the past year.

2004

Name(s)	Discipline	Award/Sponsor
Joseph Alba	Marketing	Distinguished Scientific Contribution Award – Society of Consumer Research
Harold Benson	DIS	George Cantor Award for Distinguished Career Achievements – International Society on Multiple Criteria Decision Making
Jason Colquitt	Management	Emest J. McCormick Award for Early Career Achievement – Society for Industrial and Organizational Psychology (SIOP)
Virginia Maurer	Management	Blue Key
Rich Romano	Economics	University of Florida Research Foundation Professorship
Henry L. Tosi, Jr.	Management	Organization Management Theory Best Paper Award – Organization Theory Division, Academy of Management

Doctoral placements

Air Force Academy - 1994; 1997

Arizona State - 2002

Carnegie Mellon - 1998; 2004

Case Western – 2001

Corneil - 2000

Duke - 2000

Kansas State - 1998; 2000

Michigan - 1999

Michigan State - 1999, 2003

New York University - 2001; 2002

Notre Dame - 1994; 1999

Purdue - 1998, 2004

Rochester Institute of Technology – 2002

Sabanci (Turkey) - 2001; 2004

Southern Methodist University - 1999

Thunderbird – 1998

UC Berkeley - 2004

University of Chicago - 1998

Washington – 2003

Wharton -2000(2)

	7.094.582 8,396,159 8,819,264 9,748,707 628,428 5.58,905 129,148 30,2,866 1,655,986 1,564,078 1,781,185 1,848,209 1,384,418 1,442,710 1,811,650 2,111,757 484,636 498,111 511,958 7733,913 2,924,434 16,600,623 1,543,030 18,520,710	1,873,307 2,091,882 300,028 268,900 434,622 588,873 18,307,510 19,396,694 2	731,074 628,743 647,882 1,017,448 1,096,572 1,507,058 1,511,723 2,450,056 1,827,646 2,135,801 2,159,605 3,467,504	454,567 600,567 812,000 933,786 400,000 525,000 577,608 990,690 1,423,097 1,550,787 1,032,175 1,591,257 2,635,097 3,009,573	1,189,410 1,251,177 1,234,417 772,635 1,092,411 1,311,630 1,544,203 2,173,768 2,281,821 2,562,807 2,778,620 2,946,403	21,833,428 24,597,375 26,970,016 31,669,752 34,210,111 46,205,098 55,000,000 72,372,198 84,204,955 91,024,615
SS ADMINISTRATION ATION	1994-95 1995-96 11 7,353,092 7,135,831 568,187 592,833 1,466,194 1,704,898 1,322,714 1,331,618 400,092 445,596 2,784,649 2,914,817	1,332,296 1,488,668 288,652 254,339 15,515,876 15,868,660 101 5	693,184 640,000 622,171 625,000 1,315,355 1,265,000	248,376 345,468 222,957 329,267 471,333 674,735 1.	1,204,846 982,464 1, 1,390,932 1,520,526 1, 2,595,778 2,502,990 2	19,898,341 20,311,385 25,171,011 29,079,654
COLLEGE OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION FINANCIAL INFORMATION FY 1994/1995. 2005-06	STATE FUNDING CBA faculty salaries Summer RshVMiscel FSOA faculty salaries USPSAARP Salaries Summer Teaching Budget Fringes on Salary (2696) Total Salaries	CBA operating expenses FSOA operating expenses Tuition Waivers Budget Recall/Reduction Ranked Faculty Lecturers	SPONSORED RESEARCH CBA Salaries CBA Other Expenses Total	DOCE Salaries & Overloads Summer Research Grants Other expenses Total	PRIVATE FUNDING CBA Salanes CBA other expenses Total	GRAND TOTAL FDN END MARKET VALUES

**Rules for residency requirements changed Fall 04 for students supported on state flunded assistantships and fellowships.

December bonuses.

2005 - 2006

Cost of Assistant Professors:

9 Month Salary	\$141,000
Fringes (33%)	47,000
Summer Research	25,000
Budget	7,000
Overhead (25% Salary & Fringes)	47,000
	\$267,000

The 9 month salary and fringes are direct costs in the state budget. The other variable items are covered by a combination of state and other sources.

Beginning of Fiscal Year Budget 04-05 and 05-06

	04-05	05-06
Operating Budget	\$1,507,090	\$1,557,480
Alumni Fellows Stipends (change) + GA increases	<u>50,390</u>	(22,807)
Total	\$1,557,480	\$1,534,673
Salary Budget		
Salaries (Prev)	\$21,011,607	\$21,511,446
Raises (Prev)	197,441	664,387
Lapse Redistr.	(342,970)	0
Health Ins.	76,429	129,626
Misc. Sal Inc.	327,790	0
New Allocation Transfers	241,149	185,000
Total	\$21,511,446	\$22,490,459
Grand Total	\$23,068,926	\$24,025,132

Estimated RESOURCE STATEMENT: DIS 2005-06 1. FACULTY G Koehler H Cheng Bandyopadha H Benson S Piramuthu J Carrillo

Bandyopadhay

J Carrillo J Feng

A Paul P Thompson STAFF

P. Brawner C. Hardy

S. Lee C. Nantz

A Vakharia A Agarwal J Feng A Aytug A Alptekinoglu P Pathak 13 Permanent Faculty

1 Lecturer

	Ethnicity			
1	White	5		
2	Asian	9		
3	Total Total	14		
1				
14				
			_	
			-	
			-	
	Total	14	+-	
14			+-	
	 		+	
			+	
	Support Funds		+-	
1 937 976	···		+	
		6 500	+-	
	9000 501 1888117	0,000	+	
	OPS		\top	
135,000	PhD Support	147,000		
45,000	Estimated PhD Fee Waivers	178,102		
90,000	Total	325,102		
132,374			T	
			\perp	
			_	
			-	
132,374			+	
			+-	
	D. 1	_	+-	
70 424		45,000	+	
			┿	
			+-	
04,434	Totali	15,000	+	
			+	
15,000				
- 10,000	Beall Professorship(6536)		_	
		15,000		
5,000			_	
-	Total			,
289,000	Department Support			
18,000	American Eco Institute#6264	220,432		
307,000	DIS #2841	6,469		
	Forum #3457	142,821		
	Total	369,722		
	ļ. ————————————————————————————————————		+	
			-	
300,000	Warrington Funds	79,200	-	
			-	
				<u>-</u>
	DISCRETIONARY			
4 012 020	EACHLTV DATE			
		435 000		
120,134			+	
RE SOO				
55,500		70,000	+	
132.374			-	
			\vdash	
	College Rate Pool		_	90.00
		_	\vdash	,
325,102				
325,102	DEPT SUPPORT			
325,102	DEPT SUPPORT State Support	6,500	+	
325,102 589,000		6,500 369,722		
	State Support			
***************************************	2 3 7 1 14 12 2 14 12 2 14 1,937,976 1,802,976 135,000 45,000 90,000 132,374 132,374 132,374 15,000 84,434 15,000	1	1	1

Temporary Rate Available

**Temporary Rate Used

TBA 135,000 Bander

45,000

Warrington Funds		DOCE PAYMENTS		Term	OPS Suppo	rt
Agarwal	6,300	Name	\$'s	Tch	Paid	Program
Alptekinoglu	6,300	J. Bander/Pathak	28,000	Sum 05		T1MBA06
Autug	6,300	J Carrillo	25,500	Sum 05	2,000	SFMBA06
Bandyopadhyah	6,300	K. Cheng	21,000	Spr 06	TBA	P2MBA07
Benson	6,300	M. Denizel	14,000	Sum 05		T1MBA06
Carrillo	6,300	S. Erenguc	25,500	Sum 05	2,000	SFMBA06
Cheng	6,300	-	21,000	Spr 06		EMBA06
Erenguc	·	Ogan	21,000	Sum 05	2,000	P1MBA05
Feng	6,300	Pathak	21,000	Sum 05	2,000	P2MBA06
Koehler		G. Koehler	21,000	Spr 06		EMBA07
Pathak	6,300	P. Thompson	21,000	Sum 05	2,000	12MBA07
Paul	6,300	•	21,000	Fall 05		EMBA07
Piramuthu	6,300		25,500	Spr 2006		SFMBA07
Thompson	3,600	A. Vakharia	20,000	Sum 05	2,000	ESMBA06
Vakharia	6,300		21,000	Sum 05	2,000	P2MBA06
Total	79,200	Aytug/Vakharia	21,000	Fall 05		EMBA06
			21,000	Spr 06	2,000	I2MBA07
			15,000	Spr 06	2,000	I1MBA07
		Total	289,000	ŕ	18,000	
Hidgon Emn Scholar #3290/K	oehler					
Beginning Balance	45,124					
Distribution	54,741					
Total	99,865					
Faculty Rate	78,134					
Faculty Support	6,300					

3,167

9,495 12,662

15,000

0 (2,338)

Beall Prof #6538/Vakharia

Beginning Balanace Distribution

> Faculty Support Total

Total Faculty Rate

STAFF L Braden M Shaw A Castaneda P Mason E Myrick R Passanti C Stehouwer

M Jamison P Sotkiewicz

Estimated Resource Statement: ECONOMICS
2004-05
1. FACULTY
J Hamilton D Figlio C West
D Sappington L Kenny C Al
S Berg R Roman W Bomberger
D Denslow M Rush D Waldo
R Blair S Stutsky D Clark
E Dinopoulos S Smith S Hamersma

STRIBUTION	0 Lecturer			_	
Rank Ernn Scholar	1	Ethnicity White	16	-1	
Dist Svr Prof	2	Asian	1	7	
Professor	10	Total	17	ヿ	
Aso Professor	3	Tenure Status			
Ast Professor	2	Tenured	18	\Box	
Total	18	Tenure Track	2	\Box	
Gender		Total	18	Ц	
Male	16			Ц	
Female	2			Ц	
Total	18			Н	
		= 0		H	
FUNDS				Н	
ATE SOURCES:		Support Funds	~	Н	
Salary Rate		Expense			
Equilibrium Rate	1,750,000	\$500 per faculty	8,250	-	
Actual Rate	2,241,268	Other		н	
LOA & Sabbatical Funds Available	1404 0001	Journal/Dinopoulos	6,750	Н	
Temporary Rate Available	(491,266)			Н	
Temporary Rate Used	38,500 *	· OPS	9 000	Н	
College Rate Pool	(529,768)	Student Office Support	3,000	Н	
		Ph.D. Support	180,000	\mapsto	
CAM DIESE TEAMS TO THE T		Sth year support	130,000	Н	
Staff (USPS, TEAMS, Spec Faculty)	70.400	Estimated PhD Fee Waivers	95,616	Н	
State Support (2)	70,199	Total	405,616	\mapsto	
DOCE Support	389,514	 		Н	
Center Support (PURC - 7)	369,514			\mapsto	
Program Support	450.740	 		Н	
Total	459,713	 		₩	
MATE POUDOSE.		 		H	
IVATE SOURCES: Eminent Scholars		 		H	
		M Must Broden and him #4648		₩	
J Walter #1892 Faculty Rate (Figlio)	63,666	H Hust Professorship #4018 Faculty Rate	. 15,000	H	
			2,500	Н	
Faculty Support	0	Faculty Support 06/30/00		₩	
Total	63,666		16,020	₩	
Lanz McKethan #2374		Total	33,520	Н	
	02.627	Smokilla Band diddid		⊢⊦	
Faculty Rate (Sappington) Faculty Support	92,637 123,169	Franklin Prof #4842	45.000	⊢	
		Faculty Rate	15,000	Н	
Total	215,806	Faculty Support	(2,080)	₩	
CENTER SUPPORT	820,723	Total	_12,920	Н	
CENTER SUPPORT	020,723	Custod Destaura dicada		H	
LICET DESCRICTOR		Gunter Professor #4930	46.000	Н	
UFRF PROFESSORSHIP	5 000	Faculty Rate	15,000	Н	
Faculty Rate (Romano)	5,000	Faculty Support	36,734	Н	
2005		Total	51,734	H	
DOCE	206,500	Malaki Dista - 41072		Н	
Faculty Ratel OPS Support	8,000	Knight-Ridder #4078	15,000	↤	
	214,500	Faculty Rate Faculty Support	6,300	₩	
YOM	214,500	Total Total	21,300	╁┪	
SUMMER RSH GRANTS		Toda	£1,300	H	
Research Grants	100,000	PURC Professorship Fund #3495		Н	
Hiring Grants	25,000	Faculty Rate	30,000	H	
Total	125,000	Total	(13,000)	Н	
Total	120,000	100	(13,000)	H	
		Dis Svr Professor		Н	
		Faculty Rate (Denslow & Berg)	30,000	₩	
		Faculty reare (Denskow & Berg))	30,000	₩	
	-	Department Support		H	-
		Matherly Professorship (Dept Seminars)	7,500	H	
				Н	
		Eco Fnd Account #0149 (non-end)	7,068 14,568	₩	
·		Faculty Support	17,200	Н	
		Warrington	92,200	Н	
IMMARY:		77,01110,011	94,400	Н	
NON-DISCRETIONARY		DISCRETIONARY		Н	
CULTY RATE		FACULTY RATE		⇈	
State Equilibrium Rate	2,241,266	Equilibrium Rate Available	1,750,000	Н	
Current Rate Excess	(491,266)	LOA & Şabbatiçal Funds Available	0		
Private Rate	281,303	Temporary Rate Available	(491,266)		
CULTY SUPPORT		Temporary Rate Used	38,500		
State Support		College Rate Pool		Н	(529,
rivate Support	168,623			М	,000,
AFF SUPPORT				М	
State Support	70,199			Н	
Private and Other Support	389,514			Н	
UDENT SUPPORT		DEPT SUPPORT		Н	
State Support	405,616	State Support	15,000	H	
	0	Private Support	14,566		
	V		29,588		
Private Support					
Private Support DCE	221,500	Total Department Support	25,500	⇈	
Private Support DCE Faculty Support	331,500	Trotal Department Support	25,300	Ħ	
Private Support DCE Faculty Support Staff Support	331,500	Total Department Support	25,300	H	
Private Support DCE Faculty Support		Total Department Support	28,390		

^{***}No support dollars awarded for Smith and only half an allocation for Clark.

"Temporary Rate Used: Granderson D. Mahar

35,000 3,500 38,500

			DOCE PAYMENTS				
			**		Term	OPS	
Warrington Funds			Name S Berg	\$'s 21,000	Tch Sec 08	Support 2,000	Program I2MBA08
Al	6,300		S Berg R Blair	21,000	Spr 06 Fall 05	TBA	P2MBA07
Berg	6,300		E Dinopoulos	21,000	Fall 05	TON	P2MBAS08
Biair	4,000		E Dalopoulos	21,000	Spr 06	TBA	P2MBAS08
Bomberger	6,300		Figlio/Romano	25,500	Fall 05	TBA	SFMBA07
Clark	6,300		Figlio/Romano	14,000	Sum 05	,,,,,,	T1MBA 06
Denslow	6,300		Hamilton/Romano	20,000	Spr 06	2,000	ESMBA06
Dinopoulos	6,300		D Waldo	21,000	Sum 05	_,,,,,	EMBA06
Figlio	-,		- 11	21,000	Spr 06	2,000	P2MBA06
Hamersma	6,300		C West	21,000	Fall 05	2,000	P1MBA05
Hamilton	6,300					_,	
Kenny	6,300		Total	206,500		8,000	
Romano				•			
Rush	6,300						
Sappington							
Slutsky	6,300						
Smith	6,300						
Waldo	6,300						
West	6,300						
Total	92,200						
10,21	02,200						
			W				
J Walter Emn Scholar #1892	4.48.655		Franklin #4842				
Beginning Balancae	148,202		Beginning Balance	2,677			
Distribution	70,703		Distribution	10,243			
Total	218,905		Total	12,920			
Faculty Rate	63,666		Faculty Rate	15,000			
Faculty Support	0		Faculty Support	(2,080)			
Total	63,666		Total	12,920			
Lanz-McKethan Ema Scholar	2374						
Beginning Balance	145,076		Matherly Professorsh	in #0892			
Distribution	70,730	3	Beginning Balance	15,394			
Total	215,806	-	Distribution	8,667			
Faculty Rate	92,637		Total	24,061			
Faculty Support	123,169		Dept Seminar Serie	7.500			
Total	215,806		Total	16,561			
			200				
Knight-Ridder #4078/Figlio			PURC Professorship				
Beginning Balance	14,909		Beginning Balance	17,000			
Distribution	16,732		Total	17,000			
Total	31,641		Faculty Rate	30,000			
Faculty Rate	15,000		Total	(13,000)			
Faculty Support	6,300						
Reserve	10,341						
Total	31,841						
H Hurst #4018 (MGT)							
Faculty Rate	15,000						
Faculty Support	2,500						
Total	17,500						
		63					
Gunter Prof #4390							
Beginning Balance	37,931						
Distribution	13,803						
Total	51,734						
Faculty Rate	15,000						
Faculty Support	36,734						
Total	51,734						
CENTERS							
PURC #1587	83,091						
PURC #8811	51,514						
PPRC #4808	110,009						
CIBER	472,641						
Total	820,723						

Estimated RESOURCE STATEMENT: FIRE 2005-06

1. FACULTY
M. Flannery
C. James
D. Ling
D. Brown
P. DeMichele
S. Bullivant
D. Lingston
A. Narranjo
D. Himes
W. Archer
M. Nimalendran
R. Crum
D. Nye
C. Tapley
K. Burks
A. Heggestad
M. Ryngaert
W. Rossi
M. Monroe

17 Perm Faculty 3 Lecturers

DISTRIBUTION		_			_	
Rank		Н	Ethnicity		Н	
Emn Scholar Professor	3	Н	White	18	Н	
Aso Professor	4	Н	American Indian	1	Н	
Ast Professor	0	н	Hispanic	1	Н	
Lecturer	3	Н	i napanici		Н	
Total	19	Н	Total	_19	М	
		П			Н	
Gender		П	Tenure Status		П	
Male	19		Tenured	16		
Female	0		Tenure Track	0		
Total	19		Non-tenure track	3		
		Ė	Total	19		
FUNDS					Ш	
TATE SOURCES:		Ш	12			
Salary Rate		Н	Support Funds		Ш	
		ш	Expense		Н	
Equilibrium Rate	2,628,663	Н	\$500 per faculty	8,000	Н	
Actual Rate	2,493,563	Н	Other		Н	
Tempoarary Equilibrium Rale Available	135,000 87,637	Н	005		Н	-
LOA & Sabbatical Funds Available	23,000	=	OPS Ph D Support	180 000	Н	
Tempoarary Rate Used College Rate Pool	199,837	Н	Ph.D. Support Estimated PhD Fee Waivers	180,000 55,872	Н	
CONTEST NAME POOR	100,001	Н	5th yr support	50,000	Н	
		Н	Jery, support	285,872	Н	
		Н		200,072	Н	-
Staff (USPS, TEAMS, Spec Faculty)				-	П	
State Support (4)	127,223					
DOCE Support (DeMichele)	10,141	***			Г	
Grant Support	0				Γ	
Center (CEI - 1)	0					
Total	137,384					
		ш				
PRIVATE SOURCES:		Ц				
Eminent Scholars		Ц	Professorships/Faculty Fellowships		Ц	
Bank of America Eminant Sch #2144		Ш	Hussey #3040		ш	
Faculty Rate (Flannery)	80,571	Ц	Faculty Rate (Ling)	15,000	Щ	
Faculty Support	_0	Н	Faculty Support	6,249	Щ	
Total	(352)	Н	Total	21,249	ш	
		Н			Ц	
Dial Sunbank #2620	88.594	Н	Emerson/Merrill #3318		H	
Faculty Rate (James)	80,571	Н	Faculty Rate (Naranio)	15,000	Н	
Faculty Support	6,300	Н	Faculty Support	6,300	Н	
Total	3,618	Н	Total	21,300	H	-
10-4-9-4479		Н	Dark of Asserted Darks asset to 00040		Н	
J Cordell #4172 Faculty Rate (Ritter)	0	Н	Bank of America Professorship #3910	45.000	Н	
	_0	Н	Faculty Rate (Houston)	15,000	Н	
Faculty Supports Total	67,722	Н	Faculty Support Total	6,300 21,300	Н	
1000	07,422	Н		21,300	-	
Program Support		Н	Wachovia Faculty Fellowship # 4520		Н	
Graham-Buffet #63101	0	Н	Faculty Rate (Archer)	7,500	Н	
Hough #7910	52,458	Н	Total	7,500	Н	
Total	52,458	Н	104	7,200	Н	_
,,,,,,	92,-00	Н			Н	
Department Support		П	Wells Endowment #4888		Н	
Department Foundation #0151	1,734	П	Faculty Support (James & Flannery)	20,000	П	
Richardson #2242	12,742	П			М	-
Wachovia #4520	0				П	
Wells #4888	0					
Rushing #6590	102,570		Holloway Professorship #\$252			
Total	118,546		Faculty Rate (Heggestad)	15,000		
			Faculty Support	17,765		
		Ш	Total	32,765	П	
Faculty Support		П				
Warrington Support	46,700	Ш	Graham-Buffet #8310		Ĺ	
		ш	Faculty Rate (Ryngaret, Radcliffe, Nimalendran)	47,500	Ĺ	
Center Support	422,978	Ш	Faculty Support (Ryngaret, Radcliffe)	7,500	Ĺ	
		ш	Total	55,000	L	
		Н		_	Ц	
		Н	Bank of America #6976		Н	
		Н	Faculty Rate (Nimalendran)	15,000	Н	
		Н	Faculty Support	6,300	H	
DOCE Seattle Park	E20.000	Н	Total	21,300	Н	
Faculty Rate	532,332	Н	Dock of America	_	Н	
Student Support	33,000	Н	Bank of America #7206		Н	
Total	565,332	Н	Faculty Rate (Brown, Livingston)	27,071	Н	
Summer Research Grants		Н			Н	
	125,000	H			Н	
Research Grants Hiring Grants		H	Barrelon #78 **		Н	
Hiring Grants	125,000	Н	Bergstrom #7548	45.000	Н	
1008	123,000	Н	Faculty Rate	15,000	Н	
		H	Faculty Support	23,472	Н	
		Н	Total	38,472	Н	
					ш	
		_	Harris attack			
			Hough #7910	0E 000	Ц	
			Faculty Rate (Brown)	25,000	H	
				25,000 6,300 31,300		

SUMMARY:						
NON-DISCRETIONARY		DISCRETIONARY				
FACULTY RATE			FACULTY RATE			
State Equilibrium Rate	2,628,663		Equilibrium Rate Available	135,000		
Private Rate	358,213		LOA & Sabbatical Funds Available	87,837		
FACULTY SUPPORT			Temporary Rate Available	222,837		
State Support			Temporary Rate Used	23,000		
Private Support	153,186		College Rate Pool			199,837
STAFF SUPPORT						
State Support	127,223					
Private and Other Support	0					
PROGRAM SUPPORT						
State Support	0		DEPT SUPPORT			
Private Support	52,458		State Support	8,000		
STUDENT SUPPORT			Private Support	118,546	_1	
State Support	285,872		Total Department Support	126,546		
Private Support	0				_	
DOCE						
Faculty Rate	657,332				$oldsymbol{\perp}$	<u> </u>
Staff Support	10,141					
Student Support	33,000					
CENTERS						
Center Support	422,978				\perp	
Tot	tal 4,729,066		Tota	149,546		199,837

*Temporary rate available: Nimalendran

87,837

**Temporary rate used: Reinertson

23,000

^{***}Portion of P. DeMichele's salary

Warrington Funds			
Archer	6.300		
Brown	-,		
Crum	6,300		
Flannery	6,300		
Heggestad			
Houston			
James			
Karceski	6,300		
Ling			
Livingston	6,300		
Naranjo			
Nimalendran			
Nye Radciife	6,300 1,300		
Ritter	1,300		
Rynoaret	4.000		
Tapley	3,500	1	
Total	46,700	ı	
Bank of America Emn Sch #2146/F Beginning Batance Distribution	lannery 30,374 49,845	Rushing End #6590 Beginning Balance Distribution	71,040 31,530
Total	60,219	Total	102,570
Faculty Rate	80,571	Department Support	102,570
Faculty Support	0		
Total	(352)		
Richardson #2242		Bank of America Prof #8976/Nimal	endran
Beginning Balance	2,518	Beginning Balance	7,695
Distribution	10,224	Distribution	15,273
Total	12,742	Total	22,968
Department Support	12,742	Faculty Rate	15,000
		Faculty Support	6,300
Sunbank Emn Sch #2620/James		Reserve	1,668
Beginning Balance	39,044	Total	22,968
Distribution	51,645		
Total	90,889	Bank of America Bus End #7206/B	
Faculty Rate	80,571	Beginning Balance	7,500
Faculty Support	6,300	Distribution	19,571
Reserve	3,618	Total	27,071
Total	90,689	Prof/Fac Fellow	7,500
		MBA	19,571

Name	\$'5	Term Tch	OPS Support	Program
D. Brown	21,000	Fall 05	• • •	EMBA06
R, Crum	28,000	Sum 05		T1MBA06
	21,000	Fall 05	2,000	P2MBAN08°
L. Gapenski	21,000	Sum 05		ESMBA07
A. Heggestad	19,500	Sum 05	2,000	12MBA06
•••	15,000	Sum 05	2,000	I1MBA08
	21,000	Spr 08	2,000	ESMBA06
J. Houston	21,000	Fall 05	2,000	I2MBA07
	21,000	Sum 05		EMBA06
M. Livingston	21,000	Sum 05		P1MBA05
	20,000	Fali05	2,000	P2MBAN05
A. Naranjo	21,000	Spr 06	2,000	I2MBA07
	15,000	Spr 06	2,000	IIMBA07
M. Nimalendran	15,000	Fall05	2,000	11MBA06
	19,500	Fall 05	2,000	I2MBA06
	21,000	Spr 06		EMBA06
D. Nye	5,000	Spr 06		ESMBA07
	5,000	Sum 0		P2MBAN05
	5,000	Falt 05		P1MBA05
	5,000	Spr 06		EMBA06
	7,000	Fa1106		I1MBA07
R. Radcliffe	20,000	Sum 05	2,000	P2MBAN05
W. Rossi	15,000	Fall05		MSED6
	21,000	Fall05	2,000	ESMBA08
M. Ryngaert	25,500	Spr 06	2,000	SFMBA06
C. Tapley	23,000	Sum 05	3,000	OEM06
	25,500	Sum 05	2,000	SFMBA06
	21,000	Fall 05	2,000	P1MBA06
J. Champagne	10,000	Spr 06		MSE06
C Vara ·	10,000	Spr 06		MSE08
	13,332	Sum 05		MSE05
	532,332		33,000	

^{*} Crum may do course in load.

Hussey Prof #3040/Ling			Bergstrom Real Estate End #7548/Ling	,
Beginning Balance		4,931	Beginning Balance	9,350
Distribution	500	16,318	Distribution	29,122
Total		21,249	Total	38,472
Faculty Rate		15,000	Faculty Rate	15,000
Faculty Support		6,249	Faculty Support	23,472
Total		21,249	Total	38,472
Emerson/Merrill Lynch #331B/Nara	nia		Hough Masters Prog #7910/Brown	
Beginning Balance	.40	6,913	Beginning Balance	(721)
Distribution		15,425	03-04 Distribution	84,479
Total		22,338	Total	83,758
Faculty Rate		15,000	Faculty Rate	25,000
Faculty Support		6.300	Faculty Support	6,300
Reserve		1,038	Program Support	52,458
Total		22,338	Total	83,758
Bank of America Prof #3910/Housi)nn		Holloway Professor #5252/Heggestad	
Beginning Balance	UH	8,474	Beginning Balance	32,932
Distribution		15,930	Distribution	14,833
Total		24,404	Total	47,765
Faculty Rate		15,000	Faculty Rate	15,000
Faculty Support		6,300	Reserve	15,000
Reserve		3,104	Faculty Support	17,765
Total		24,404	Total	47,765
(Cal		24,404	Total	41,103
Cordell Ernn Sch #4172/Ritter			Graham Buffett Teach End #6310/Ryn	gaert
Beginning Balance		67,222	Beginning Balance	35,191
Distribution		82,232	Distribution	60,066
Total		129,454	Total	95,257
Faculty Rate		121,234	Faculty Rate	47,500
Faculty Support		6,300	Faculty Support	7,500
Reserve		1,920	Reserve	40,257
Total		129,454	Program Support	0
Wachovia Fac Fel #4520/Archer			Total	95,257
Beginning Balance		10,242		
Distribution		6,676		
Total		17,118		
Faculty Rate		7,500		
Reserve		7,500		
Department Support		2,118		
Total		17,118		

31,415 21,403 52,818 20,000 20,000 12,818 52,818 Ling has not received 03-04 supplement.

Brown has not received \$15000 of 04-05 supplement.

CENTERS	29.297
Int Eco & Bus Studies #4467	4,649
Insurance Research #0663	2,660
Center for Entre/Inn #2197	
Beginning Balance	554,586
Committed Faculty Salary Rate	49,988
Committed Staff Salaries	81,620
Program Support	422,978
Total	554,586
Center Support Total	591,192

Wells End #4868/James

Beginning Balance Distribution Total

Faculty Support Reserve Department Support Total

> Heggestad's summer J Kraft

Estimated RESOURCE STATEMENT: MANAGEMENT 2005-06

S. Paruchud U. Hall

STAFF M. Calvert W. Sherouse

1. FACULTY
T. Judge
R. Emerson
L. DiMatteo
V. Maurer
H. Tosl
J. Colquitt

A Erez J, LePine R. Thomas W, Shen J. Kammeyer G. Lee

13 Perm Faculty 1 Lecturer

Note: Equilibrium # is 14 + 1 Lecturer DISTRIBUTION

Rank Emn Scholar	- "1	Ethnicity White	10	+	-
Professor	3	African-American	10	+	
Assoc Professor	- 6	Asian	3	+	
Ast Professor	3	Total	14	+	
Lecturer	11	Tenure Status		\top	
Total	14	Tenured	10		
Gender		Tenure Track	3	\Box	
Male	12	Non Tenure Track	1		
Female	2	Total	14	Ц.	
Total	14			Ц.	
			~	Ц.	
. FUNDS				Н	
TATE SOURCES:				Н	
Salary Rate	9 999 999	Support Funds		H	
Equilibrium Rate	2,263,330	Expense	7 000	₩	
Actual Rate	2,128,330	\$500 per faculty	7,000	H	- -
LOA & Sabbatical Rate Available Temporary Rate Available	135,000	_ OPS		Н	
Temporary Rate Used	60,000	Ph.D. Support	147,000	H	
College Rate Pool	75,000	5th Yr Teaching Support	40,000	H	
COMOSTO FISHER FOR	70,000	Student Office Support	3,000	H	
		Estimated PhD Fee Waivers	52,992	H	
		Total	242,992	H	
Staff (USPS, TEAMS, Spec Faculty)		7,542	2-2,002	H	
State Support (2.0)	71,382			\Box	_
Grant Support	0				
Center Support	0				
	71,382				
	_			П	
				Ц	
				Ц	
				Ц	
PRIVATE SOURCES:				Н	
Eminent Scholars		Professorships/Faculty Fellowships		Н	
McKethan-Mathelry Emn Sch #2236		McGriff Professorship #3758		H	
Faculty Rate (Judge)	82,849	Tosi's balance	15,849	Н	
Faculty Support	276,789			Н	
Total	359,638			H	
Considerat Support				H	
MGT Foundation Acct #0533 (non-end)	1,476	Hurst Professorship #4018		Н	
Hurst Business Law End #2392		culty Rate (Maurer, Emerson, Blair, Tosi, DiMatteo)	75,000	H	
Hurst Endowment #6356	140,173	Faculty Support	12,500	Н	
Hurst Professorship #4018	{24,027}	Total	87,500	₩	
Total	164,703	104	01,300	Н	
1041	104,700	Hurst Endowment #6356		H	
		Fac Rate (Thomas, Erez, Colquitt, Shen)	30,000	H	
Program Support		100100110011001100110011100111	00,000	H	
oe Financial Group Bus Ethics Prog #7241	94,939	Poe Fin Grp Bus Ethics Prog #7241		П	
Darden Res Speaker Series #8688	7,535	Faculty Rate (Maurer)	10,000	П	
Total	102,474			П	
		Darden Rest Mgmt Professorship #8670	69,207	П	
		Faculty Rate (LePine)	15,000	П	
DOCE		Faculty support	6,300	П	
Faculty Rate	506,501	Total	21,300	П	
OPS Support	34,001				
Total	540,502	Faculty Support		П	
		Warrington Funds	53,400	П	
Summer Research Grants				μĬ	
Research Grants	175,000			Ц	
Hiring Grants	50,000			Н	
Total	225,000	 		⊢	
PARAMETER DV.				H	
SUMMMARY:				₽	
NON-DISCRETIONARY		-		Н	
FACULTY RATE	2,128,330	DISCRETIONARY		\vdash	
State Actual Rate Private Rate	2,128,330	FACULTY RATE		Н	
FACULTY SUPPORT	**9'ô84	Equilibrium Rate Available	135,000	H	
State Support		LOA & Sabbatical Funds Available	133,000	⇈	
Private Support	295,589	Temporary Rate Available	135,000	H	
STAFF SUPPORT		Temporary Rate Used	60,000	Н	
State Support	71,382	College Rate Pool		П	75,000
Private and Other Support				П	
PROGRAM SUPPORT		DEPT SUPPORT			
State Support	0	State Support	3,000	П	
Private Support	102,474	Private Support	164,703	П	
STUDENT SUPPORT		Total Department Support	167,703	П	
State Support	187,000			П	
DOCE					
Faculty Rate	731,501				
	0				
Staff Support					
Start Support	34,001 3,778,975	Total	227,703	П	75,000

7/12/2005 8:35 AM

WARRINGTON FUNDS				DOCE PAYME	NTS			
Colquitt	6,300					Term	OPS	
Di Matteo	4,000			Name	\$'s	Tch	Support	Program
Emerson	4,000						**	_
Erez	6,300			J. Colquitt	21,000	Fall 05	2,000	P2MBAN06
Hall	3,600	4		L DI Matteo	25,500	Fall 05	2,000	P2MBAN06
Judoe				R Emerson	21,000	Spr 06	TBA	P1MBA06
Kammeyer	6.300				19,500	Sum 05	2,000	I2MBA06
Lee	6,300				15,000	Sum 05	2,000	I1MBA06
LePine	-,			A, Erez	21,000	Spr 06	_,,	EMBA07
Maurer	4,000			G. Lee	6.687	Fall 05	667	ESMBA06
Paruchun	5,300			0. 505	6,667	Spr 06	667	ESMBA08
Shen	6,300			J. LePine	7.000	Sum 05	001	T1MBA06
Thomas	5,300			J. COPIIIO	25,500	Fat 05	2,000	SFMBA08
Tosl	5,550				21,000	Spr 06	2,000	ESMBA07
Total	53,400				5,000	Fall 05	70.4	P2MBA07
total	33,400			V Maurer	21,000	Sum05	TBA	
Addition - Market Co. Caledon Manager	Ludant.	Booden Book Complete Series #900		v Maurer				EMBA08
McKethan-Matherty Emn Scholar #2236 (J		Darden Rest Speaker Series #866			10,000	Sum 05		MSED6
Beginning Batance	290,443	Beginning Balance	2,909		25,500	Spr06	2,000	SFMBA06
Distribution	69,195	Distribution	4,626	R Thomas	21,000	Spr06		ESMBA06
Total	359,638	Total	7,535		20,000	Sum 05	2,000	P2MBANO5
Faculty Rate	82,849			H Tosi	7,000	Sum 05		P1MBA05
Faculty Support	276,789				21,000	Sum 05	2,000	I2MBA07
Total	359,638	Darden Rest Mgmt Professorship			14,000	Sum 05		T1MBA06
		Beginning Balance	47,168		7,000	Fall 05	667	P1MBA06
H Hurst Bus Law End #2392		Distribution	22,039		7,000	Fall 05	TBA	P1MBA06
Beginning Balance	27,424	Total	69,207		23,000	Fall 05	3,000	OEM07
Distribution	19,657	Faculty Rate	15,000		5,000	Fall 05		P2MBAN05
Total	47,081	Faculty Support	6,300		5,000	Spr 06		I2MBA06
Department Support	47,081	Reserve	47,907			Spr 06		I1MBA06
		Total	69.207	J Kraft	6.667	Sum 05	2.000	ESMBA06
					20,000	Fall 05	2,000	P1MBA05
McGriff Professorship #3758					20,000	Fall 05	2,000	P2MBAN05
Tosi's balance	15,849				21,000	Spr 06	-,	EMBADS
Total	15,849				23,000	Spr 06	3,000	OEM06
100					19,500	Spr 06	2,000	IZMBA06
					15,000	Spr 06	2,000	I1MBA06
Hurst Professorship #4018*				Total	508,501	Sp. 00	34,001	THISHUG
Beginning Balance	31,022			i çuii	200,501		24,001	
Distribution	32,451							
Total	63,473							
Faculty Rate		(Maurer, Tosi, Emerson, Blair, DiMatteo)						
Faculty Support	12,500	(Maurer, Tosi, Emerson, Blair, DiMatteo))						
Department Support	0	(manufat, 100), Citibiscol, Diell, Dimentroll						
Total	(24,027)							
TOWN	(24,021)	'						
H Hurst Endowment #6356*								
Beginning Balance	118,754							
	51,419	•						
Distribution Total	170,173							
		Chames See Calaum Charl						
Faculty Rate		(Thomas, Erez, Colquitt, Shen)						
Department Support	140,173							
Total	170,173					27		

CENTERS
Human Resource Risch Center #4855**

Poe Fin Grp Bus Ethics Prog #7241
Beginning Batance
Gift
Total
Faculty Rate (Maurer)
Program Support
Total

44,939 60,000 104,939 10,000 (Maurer) 94,939 104,939

^{**}Account #4855 was closed and funds were moved to #6356.

Estimated RESOURCE STATEMENT: 2005-06

MARKETING

1. FACULTY S. Shugan B. Weltz J. Cohen J. Alba C. Janiszewski R. Lutz A Sawyer J. Xie A. Cooke L. Brenner S. Fay R. LeBoeuf M. Lewis D. Mitra E. Gordon

STAFF
J. Smith
J. Maynard
C. Koenig
M. Jones
C. Schulz
B. Trobaugh

14 Permanent Faculty

DISTRIBUTION						
Rank		Ethnicity				
Emn Scholar	2	White	13			
Dist Prof	1	Asian	2			
Dist Svr Prof	1	Total	15			
Professor	3				. 1	=
Aso Professor	2	<u> </u>				
Ast Professor	5					
Lecturer	1	Tenure Status		_		
Total	15	Tenured	9	_		
Gender		Tenure Track	5	_		
Male	13	Non-tenure Track	1	_		
Female	2	Total	15	_		
Total	15					_
				_		_
2. FUNDS		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_		
STATE SOURCES:		Support Funds		_		_
Salary Rate				_		
Equilibrium Rate	2,426,398	Expense \$500 per faculty	7,500	_		_
Actual Rate	2,338,223	Journal Journal				_
Temporary Equilibrium Rate Available	2,338,223 88,175	Journal	21,300		 	_
LOA & Sabbatical Funds Available	15,000	OPS		_	 	_
Temporary Rate Used	13,000	Journal support	7,500	-		_
College Rate Pool	103,175	Student office support	3,000	_	-	_
College Factor Foot	100,110	Student once support	30,000	-		_
Staff (USPS, TEAMS, Spec Faculty)		Ph.D. Support	147,000			
State Support (2)	71,027	Estimated PhD Fee Walvers	52,992			
DOCE Support	,02.	Contract lim I am Atelials	269,292			_
Grant Support			244,202	_		_
Center (Miller Ret Ctr - 4)	209,400			_		
Total	280,427					
PRIVATE SOURCES:						
Eminent Scholars		PROFESSORSHIPS				
J C Penney #1780						
Faculty Rate (Weitz)	86,798	J C Penney (2)				
Faculty Support	6,300	Faculty Rate (Sawyer and Lutz)	30,000			
Total	93,098	Faculty Support	12,600			
Russ Berrie #2970		Total	42,600			
Faculty Rate (Shugan)	86,798					
Faculty Support	6,300	Faricy Faculty Fellow (7532)				
Total	93,098	Faculty Rate (Janiszewski)	15,000			
		Faculty Support	0			
UFRF PROFESSORSHIP		Total	15,000	_		
Faculty Rate (Alba)	5,000			_		_
Faculty Support	3,000	Bealls Faculty Fellow (4210)		_		_
2005		Faculty Rate (Xie)	7,500	L.		—
DOCE	000 500	Distance Inches Description		<u> </u>		
Faculty Rate	298,500	Distinguished Service Professor	45.000	_		\vdash
Dist Prof Rate	82,923	Faculty Rate (Cohen)	15,000	H		
Student Support Total	17,000 398,423			⊢		\vdash
10481	380,423			H	_	
SUMMER RSH GRANTS		Department Support		-		
Researach Grants	250,000	Bealls #4210	386	-		_
Hiring Grants	50,000	Dept Fdn Act #0155 (non-end)	72,091	-	-	_
Total	300,000	Total	72,477	-		_
		100.		Г		$\overline{}$
CENTERS		Faculty Support		Т	-	$\overline{}$
Center Support	451,278	Warrington	78,300	Г		
SUMMARY:			_			$\overline{}$
NON-DISCRETIONARY		DISCRETIONARY				
FACULTY RATE		FACULTY RATE		_		
FACULTY RATE State Equilibrium Rate	2,426,398	Equilibrium Rate Available	88,175		<u> </u>	
FACULTY RATE State Equilibrium Rate Private Rate	2,426,398 246,096	Equilibrium Rate Available LOA & Sabbatical Funds Available	15,000			
FACULTY RATE State Equilibrium Rate Private Rate FACULTY SUPPORT	245,096	Equilibrium Rate Available LOA & Sabbatical Funds Available Temporary Rate Available				
FACULTY RATE State Equilibrium Rate Private Rate FACULTY SUPPORT State Support	248,096 7,500	Equilibrium Rate Avaliable LOA & Sabbatical Funds Avaliable Temporary Rate Avaliable Temporary Rate Used	15,000	-		
FACULTY RATE State Equilibrium Rate Private Rate FACULTY SUPPORT State Support Private Support	245,096	Equilibrium Rate Available LOA & Sabbatical Funds Available Temporary Rate Available	15,000		103,175	
FACULTY RATE State Equilibrium Rate Private Rate FACULTY SUPPORT State Support Private Support STAFF SUPPORT	7,500 28,200	Equilibrium Rate Avaliable LOA & Sabbatical Funds Avaliable Temporary Rate Avaliable Temporary Rate Used	15,000		103,175	
FACULTY RATE State Equilibrium Rate Private Rate FACULTY SUPPORT State Support Private Support STAFF SUPPORT State Support	7,500 28,200 71,027	Equilibrium Rate Avaliable LOA & Sabbatical Funds Avaliable Temporary Rate Avaliable Temporary Rate Used	15,000		103,175	
FACULTY RATE State Equilibrium Rate Private Rate FACULTY SUPPORT State Support Private Support STAFF SUPPORT State Support Private Support Private Support	7,500 28,200	Equilibrium Rate Avaliable LOA & Sabbatical Funds Avaliable Temporary Rate Avaliable Temporary Rate Used	15,000		103,175	
FACULTY RATE State Equilibrium Rate Private Rate FACULTY SUPPORT State Support Private Support STAFF SUPPORT State Support Private and Other Support STUDENT SUPPORT	248,098 7,500 28,200 71,027 209,400	Equilibrium Rate Avaliable LOA & Sabbatical Funds Avaliable Temporary Rate Avaliable Temporary Rate Used College Rate Pool	15,000		103,175	
FACULTY RATE State Equilibrium Rate Private Rate Private Rate FACULTY SUPPORT State Support Private Support STAFF SUPPORT State Support Private and Other Support STUDENT SUPPORT State Support	7,500 28,200 71,027 209,400 269,292	Equilibrium Rate Avaliable LOA & Sabbatical Funds Avaliable Temporary Rate Avaliable Temporary Rate Used College Rate Pool	15,000 103,175		103,175	
FACULTY RATE State Equilibrium Rate Private Rate PACULTY SUPPORT State Support Private Support STAFF SUPPORT State Support STAFF SUPPORT State Support Private and Other Support STUDENT SUPPORT State Support Private Support	248,098 7,500 28,200 71,027 209,400	Equilibrium Rate Avaliable LOA & Sabbatical Funds Avaliable Temporary Rate Avaliable Temporary Rate Used College Rate Pool DEPT SUPPORT State Support	15,000 103,175 39,300		103,175	
FACULTY RATE State Equilibrium Rate Private Rate FACULTY SUPPORT State Support Private Support STAFF SUPPORT State Support Private Support Private and Other Support STUDENT SUPPORT State Support Private Support DOCE	248,096 7,500 28,200 71,027 209,400 269,292 17,000	Equilibrium Rate Avaliable LOA & Sabbatical Funds Avaliable Temporary Rate Avaliable Temporary Rate Used College Rate Pool DEPT SUPPORT State Support Private Support	15,000 103,175 103,175 39,300 72,477		103,175	
FACULTY RATE State Equilibrium Rate Private Rate FACULTY SUPPORT State Support Private Support STAFF SUPPORT State Support Private and Other Support STUDENT SUPPORT State Support Private and Other Support Private Support DOCE Faculty Rate	7,500 28,200 71,027 209,400 269,292	Equilibrium Rate Avaliable LOA & Sabbatical Funds Avaliable Temporary Rate Avaliable Temporary Rate Used College Rate Pool DEPT SUPPORT State Support	15,000 103,175 39,300		103,175	
FACULTY RATE State Equilibrium Rate Private Rate Private Rate FACULTY SUPPORT State Support Private Support STAFF SUPPORT State Support State Support Private and Other Support STUDENT SUPPORT State Support Private Support Private Support Private Support Private Support Private Support State Support Private Support Private Support	248,096 7,500 29,200 71,027 209,400 269,292 17,000 681,423	Equilibrium Rate Avaliable LOA & Sabbatical Funds Avaliable Temporary Rate Avaliable Temporary Rate Used College Rate Pool DEPT SUPPORT State Support Private Support	15,000 103,175 103,175 39,300 72,477		103,175	
FACULTY RATE State Equilibrium Rate Private Rate FACULTY SUPPORT State Support Private Support STAFF SUPPORT State Support Private and Other Support STUDENT SUPPORT State Support Private Support Private Support State Support Private Support State Support	248,096 7,500 28,200 71,027 209,400 269,292 17,000 681,423	Equilibrium Rate Avaliable LOA & Sabbatical Funds Avaliable Temporary Rate Avaliable Temporary Rate Used College Rate Pool DEPT SUPPORT State Support Private Support	15,000 103,175 103,175 39,300 72,477		103,175	
FACULTY RATE State Equilibrium Rate Private Rate FACULTY SUPPORT State Support Private Support STAFF SUPPORT State Support Private Support Private and Other Support STUDENT SUPPORT State Support Private Support DOCE Faculty Rate Staff Support Student Support Student Support	248,096 7,500 28,200 71,027 209,400 269,292 17,000 681,423 82,923 84,295	Equilibrium Rate Avaliable LOA & Sabbatical Funds Avaliable Temporary Rate Avaliable Temporary Rate Used College Rate Pool DEPT SUPPORT State Support Private Support Total Department Support	15,000 103,175 103,175 39,300 72,477 111,777			
FACULTY RATE State Equilibrium Rate Private Rate FACULTY SUPPORT State Support Private Support STAFF SUPPORT State Support Private Support Private And Other Support STUDENT SUPPORT State Support Private Support Private Support Private Support State Support State Support State Support DOCE Faculty Rate Staff Support Student Support	248,096 7,500 28,200 71,027 209,400 269,292 17,000 681,423	Equilibrium Rate Avaliable LOA & Sabbatical Funds Avaliable Temporary Rate Avaliable Temporary Rate Used College Rate Pool DEPT SUPPORT State Support Private Support	15,000 103,175 103,175 39,300 72,477		103,175	

		DOCE	
		Name	\$'s
Warrington		J Alba	21,000
Funds	6 200		21,000
S. Shugan B. Weitz	6,300 6,300		10,000 21,000
J. Cohen	6,300		25,500
J. Alba	9,000	Alba/Cooke	20,000
C. Janiszewski	6,300	Gordon	21,000
R. Lutz	-,	M. Lewis	Buyout
A. Sawyer		R. Lutz	14,000
A. Cooke	6,300		19,500
J. Xie	6,300		15,000
L. Brenner	6,300	Lutz/Sawyer	21,000
S. Fay	6,300	Mahajan	21,000
R. LeBoeuf	6,300	A. Sawyer	23,000
M. Lewis	6,300		21,000
D. Mitra	6,300	Sawyer/Shugan	21,000
		-	21,000
Total	78,300	F. Sturdivant	21,000
			19,500
			15,000
		Total	298,500
Professorships		Eminent Scholars	
J C Penney #1/A Sawyer/#3160	44.000	J C Penny Emn Sch #1780	
Beginning Balance	14,200	Beginning Balance	77,580
Distribution	17,507	Distribution*	56,077
Total	31,707	Total	133,657
Faculty Rate	15,000	Faculty Rate	86,798
Faculty Support	6,300	Faculty Support	6,300
Reserve Total	10,407	Reserve	40,559
I Otal	31,707	Total	133,657
LC Berney #2/B L viel#2462		Russ Berrie Emn Sch #2970	67.004
J C Penney #2/R Lutz/#3162	5,085	Beginning Balance	37,084
Beginning Balance Distribution*	17,507	Distribution*	60,239
Total	22,592	Total Soundh Boto	97,323
Faculty Rate	15,000	Faucity Rate	86,798
Faculty Support	6,300	Faculty Support Reserve	6,300
Reserve	1,292	Total	4,225
Total	22.592	I Qtai	97,323
10161	22,552		
Jack Faricy/C Janisz #7532			
Beginning Balance	5,089		
Distribution*	11,036		
Total	16,125		
Faculty Rate	15,000		
Faculty Support	0		
Reserve	1,125		
Total	16,125		
Bealls Faculty Fellow #4210			
Beginning Balance	8,192		
Distribution	7,194		
Total	15,386		
Faculty Rate	7,500		
Reserve	7,500		
Balance	386		
Total	15,386		
CENTERS			
Consumer Research #0903	12,130		
Retailing Research #2337	231,979		
-			
J C Penny Purchase #3164 Beginning Balance	34,785		
Est Distribution 03-04			
Est Distribution 03-04 Total	8,753 43,538		
i otal	43,550		
Miller Retail #7388			
Beginning Balance	18,589		
Est Distribution	44,542		
Total	63,131		
10.81	04,141		
Sears Retail Sem Series #7628			
Beginning Balance	6,495		
Est Distribution	9,710		
Total	16,205		
1 10 1001			
Office Denot Retail Symposium #:	11228		

Term

Tch Fall 05

Fall 05

Fall 05

Sum 05

Spr 06 Sum 05 Fall 05 Fall 05

Sum 05 Spr 06 Spr 06 Fall 05 Fall 05

Fall 05 Spr 06 Fall 05

Spr 06

Spr 06 Fall 05

Fall 05

OPS

Support

2,000

2,000

2,000 2,000

3,000 TBA

2,000

2,000 TBA

2,000

2,000

17,000

Program EMBA07

I2MBA0

MSE0

ESMBA07

SFMBA07

SFMBA07 ESMBA06 ESMBA06 P1MBA06 T1MBA06 12MBA06 11MBA06 EMBA06

ESMBA07

OEM06 P2MBA07

P2MBA06

P2MBAN06

P1MBA06

I2MBA06

I1MBA06

Estimated RESOURCE STATEMENT: FISHER SCHOOL OF ACCOUNTING
2004-05

1. FACULTY
J Demski H Schaefer S Kramer
J Sajinkya D Snowball G McGill D Garvin
R Knechel S Asare H Lin C McDonald
J Kramer J Boyles J Tucker

Permanent Faculty: 12 Lecturers: 3

S. Subach K. Currier

STAFF J. Bryant T. Hicks G. Hudson K. Murphy

	Lecturers:	3			
DISTRIBUTION		T. Salvatata		$\overline{}$	
Rank		Ethnicity	10	+	
Emn Scholar	1	White	3	+	
Professor	5	Asian		-	
Associate Professor	4	African American	1	+	
Assistant Professor	2	Hispanic	1		
Lecturer	3	Total	15	-	
Total	15	Tenure Status		+	
Gender		Tenured	10	_	
Male	11	Tenure Track	2		
Female	4	Non-Tenure Track		山	
Total	15	Total	15	\pm	_
		1		$\neg \vdash$	
				$\neg \vdash$	
i. FUNDS		 			
TATE SOURCES:		 		_	
		Support Erroria		_	
Salary Rate	0.400.040	Support Funds	45.000	_	
Actual Rate	2,169,213	Expense	45,300	+	
Committed Rate	1,899,213			4	
Temporary Rate Available	270,000	OPS	86,934	4	
LOA & Sabbatical Funds Available	122,996	Estimated PhD Fee Waivers	31,488	ш	
Temporary Rate Used	0	000	5,200	Ц	
Program Rate Pool	392,996			\Box	
				П	
Staff (USPS, TEAMS, Spec Faculty)				\vdash	
State Support (5)	201,345	 		_	
DOCE Support	201,070	 			
		 		\vdash	
Grant Support		 		-	
Center Support		 		┢	
Program Support		ļ		╨	
Total	201,345	1		Щ.	
				$\Box \Gamma$	
				\Box T	
RIVATE SOURCES:				\sqcap	
EMN SCH/Fisher		Professorships		\vdash	
Faculty Rate (Demski)	93,415	Ernst & Young #1764			
Faculty Support	164,220	R Knechel		\vdash	
			45.000	\vdash	
Total	257,635	Faculty Rate	15,000	\vdash	
		Faculty Support	12,904	1	
Fellows		Total	27,904	4	
Duggan (2) #6160		PricewaterhouseCoopers #3476		Ш.	
S Kramer/B Ajinkya		G McGill		\Box	
Faculty Rate	15,000	Faculty Rate	15,000	\Box	
Total	15,000	Faculty Support	18,458		
	10,000	Total	33,458		
D & T Honor Roll #5280		Cook/D&T #3312	00,400	-	
				\vdash	
S Asare	2.500	D Snowball		⊢⊹	
Faculty Rate	7,500	Faculty Rate	15,000	4	
Total	7,500	Faculty Support	35,662	ш	
		Tota!	50,862	\Box	
		A Anderson #2159 (Non-end)		П	
		J Kramer			
		Faculty Rate	10,000	\vdash	
		KPMG #2372	10,000	\vdash	
			45.000	-	
		Faculty Rate	15,000	Н-	
		Faculty Support	27,658	\vdash	
DOCE		Total	42,658	$oldsymbol{\sqcup}$	
Faculty Rate	175,500			\Box	
OPS Support	5,000	PROGRAM SUPPORT			
Total	180,500	Culverhouse	161,200		
		Fisher Endownment	209,155		
·		Parks Estate	150,301		
	$\overline{}$	T GING ESIGLE	100,001	\vdash	
		#0931 FSOA Foundation (non-end)	104,483	ҥ	
				⊢⊹	
ACTIVICA 6:101		Total	625,139	\vdash	
CENTER SUPPORT	21,934			щ	
				ш	
UMMARY:					
NON-DISCRETIONARY				$\Box \Gamma$	
ACULTY RATE		DISCRETIONARY			
Actual Rate	1,099,213	FACULTY RATE			
Private Rate	198,571	Equilibrium Rate Available	270,000	\Box	
ACULTY SUPPORT		LOA & Sabbatical Rate Available	122,996	\Box	
State Support		Temporary Rate Available	392,996		
Private Support	289,100	Temporary Rate Used	392,999	\vdash	
TAFF SUPPORT	294 199	Total tate deed		\vdash	
	754 548			┢╋	
State Support	201,345			₽	
Private and Other Support				щ	
TUDENT SUPPORT		FOR TEACHING FACULTY			392,9
State Support	118,422				
	0	PROGRAM SUPPORT			
Private Support		State Support	50,500	\vdash	
		Private Support	625,139	\vdash	
OCE	175 500		943,138	+	
POCE Faculty Suppot	175,500		87E 646		
POCE Faculty Suppot Student Support	5,000	Total Department Support	675,639	щ	
Faculty Support Student Support ENTER SUPPORT	5,000 21,934	Total Department Support		廿	
Faculty Suppot Student Support	5,000		675,639 675,639		392,8
Private Support DDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDDD	5,000 21,934	Total Department Support			392,9

				DOCE PAYMENTS		Term	OPS Support	
				Name	\$'s	Tch	Paid	Program
				C McDonald	14,000	Sum 05		T1MBA06
				• me=one.ig	15,000	Sum 05		MSE06
				S Kramer	14,000	Sum 05		T1MBA06
					,000			
					21,000	Spr 06	2,000	12MBA08
F Fisher Emn Schola	ır #1864			H Schaefer	21,000	Fall 05	TBA	P2MBA07
Beginning Balance		187,488			21,000	Spr 06	TBA	P2MBAS08
Distribution		70,147		D Snowball	21,000	Spr 06		EMBA07
	Total	257,635		_ +	23,000	Spr 06	3,000	OEM07
Faculty Rate		93,415			25,500	Fall 05	TBA	SFMBA07
Faculty Support		164,220			,			
,	Total	257,635		Total	175,500		5,000	
		,			,		-,000	
FACULTY FELLOW	S		57	PROGRAM SUPPOR	₹T			
Duggan Fac Fellows	#6160			Culverhouse #6334				
Beginning Balance		23,647		Beginning Balance	30,827			
Distribution		10,562		Distribution				
	Total	34,209		Total				
Faculty Rate		15,000		Program Support	161,200			
Balance		19,209			,			
	Total	34,209		F Fisher End #230	6			
				Beginning Balance	81,642			
D&T Honor Roll #528	80			Distribution	*			
Beginning Balance		14,793		Total	- *			
Distribution		5,668		Program Support	209,155			
	Total	20,461						
Faculty Rate		7,500		Parks #6076				
Balance		12,961		Beginning Balance	56,670			
	Total	20,461		Distribution	*			
				Total				
PricewaterhouseCoc	pers #56	70		Program Support	150,301			
Beginning Balance	P - 10 11 - 10 1	16,875		g	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
Distribution		5,823		Ernst & Young Spe	akers #4246			
	Total	22,698		Beginning Balance	50,423			
		,		Distribution	•			
				Total	- •			
				Program Support	58,115			
				· ·-g·-··· -upport	55,110			
PROFESSORSHIPS	3							
Ernst & Young #1764	4			CENTER SUPPOR	Т			
Beginning Balance		14,310		CARPE #1875	21,934			
Distribution		13,594						
	Total	27,904						
Faculty Rate		15.000						
Faculty Support		12,904						
/	Total	27,904						
		,						
PricewaterhouseCoo	pers #34	76						
Beginning Balance		17,112						
Distribution		16,346						
	Total	33,458						
Faculty Rate		15,000						
Faculty Support		18,458						
· com, copposi	Total	33,458						
Cooke/D&T #3312								
Beginning Balance		35,895						
Distribution		14,967						
	Total	50,862						
Faculty Rate		15,000						
Faculty Support		35,862						
	Total	50,862						
		,						
KPMG #2372								
Beginning Balance		27,836						
Distirbution		14,820						
	Total	42,656						
Faculty Rate		15,000						
Faculty Support		27,656						
and and an arrangement	Total	42,656						

Warrington College of Business Cash Flows 2000-01/2004-05

Expenditures	<u>2004-05</u> \$24,407,885	1,557,480	5,988,347	11,910,859		\$43,864,571
Expen	\$20,349,013	2,741,867	3,436,931	7,682,300	1	\$34,210,111
	Salaries	Operating Expenses	Other Salary Expenditures	Other Expenses	•	Total Expenditures*
pts	<u>2004-05</u> \$25,099,005	34,294,586	3,894,256	8,722,558	6,094,732	\$78,105,137
Receipts	2000-01 \$16,943,604	41,134,112	4,339,922	3,566,851	3,991,540	\$69,976,029
	Tuition	FTE Funding	Sponsored Research	DOCE	Private Funding	Total Receipts

00-01 \$35,765,928 "profit" captured by the University 04-05 \$34,240,566 "profit" captured by the University

*Funding Sources:

0-01

\$21,740,935 - State Budget (67.5%)

\$12,469,175 - Private funds, contracts and grants, off-book receipts (32.5%)

04-05

\$25,965,365 - State Budget (59.2%)

\$17,859,206 Private funds, contracts and grants, off book receipts (40.8%)

Warrington College of Business On Book Revenues 2000-01 and 2004-05: Summer, Fall, Spring

<u>Ú</u> <u>Ö</u> 4	2,427.35 22,725 660.91
19.25 60.34 (19.25 Change 19.98 +3.397.046 (19.998 +3.397.046) (19.998 +3.397.046)	## April
	\$16,4 8.6 \$25,0

Total Contribution to UF Funding (04-05):

Tuition \$25,099,005
FTE 34,294,584
\$59,393,589

Total

UF Contribution to WCBA:

\$24,025,132

Conclusions:

A. Only 40% of the state support and/or revenues generated by the SCH/FTE serviced by this College are allocated to this College.

B. The College generates tuition from the courses offered that exceeds the state funding allocated to the College by about \$1,000,000.

AACSB Custom Key Data Report, Data from 2003-04 BSQ	y Data Report, I	Data fro	om 2003-0	BSG		++		++			Ħ						4	+	IT	++								
		- - -		+				$\dot{\dagger}$		+								+							1	П		
School	Operating	Ru	Endowmen	Ru	Full Time Faculty	Ra	U/C Students	Ra	Grad	Ra	Total	Ra I	BA/BS Degree	Ra	MBA	Ra	MACC. MS MS	2 × 2	Pho	Ra	Total	Ra	OP Budget/ Faculty	2 4	OP Budget	2 4	Degree Ro	日本
Univ Florida	3 3	11 73	73,004,526	00	4 1 4	12	5,815	-	161,1	00	7006		1,801	-	295	10	403	-	=	0	2,510		387,659	6	5,644	17	24.6	
Univ of Arizona	31,151,167	15 40	40,500,000	17	107	6	4,811	4	623	91	5434	4	1,038	7	135	17	119	9	00	2	1,310	6	291,132	14	5,733	91	12.2	7
CA, Berkeley	41,006,089	9 112	112,076,154	4	71	81	969	17	1,265	9	1861	17	295	17	340	00	09	14	01	13	705	17	577,551	3	22,034	4	9.6	=
UCLA	54,379,457	5 53	53,733,554	2	96	17	0	18		S	1455	18	0	80	165	7	0	18	00	91	599	81	604,216		37,374	=	6.7	8
Univ of Illinois	39,137,480	12 47	47,358,007	Ξ	128	9	3,031	6	808	13	3839	=	1,008	œ	219	15	370	7	7	00	1,611	2	305,762	13	10,195	6	12.6	9
Indiana Univ	85,552,052	2 41	41,669,277	15	201	-	3,658	7	716	15	4374	9	1,253	3	276	13	79	01	15	S	1,623	4	425,632	_	19,559		8.1	16
Univ of Iowa	29,109,538	16 40	40,646,878	91	001	14	3,603	00	1,218	7	4821	7	791	10	328	6	34	17	6	15	1,162	12	291,095	\Box	6,038	15	11.6	œ
Univ of Maryland		13 27	27,959,459	<u>∞</u>	137	40	2,890	10	1,500	4	4390	œ	1,005	6	406	2	51	15	12	6	1,474	7	280,027	17	8,739	=	10.8	10
Univ of Michigan	99,732,200	1 216	216,027,109	-	144	٣	189	91	2,084	2	2765	13	341	15	762	-	64	3	15	9	1,182	01	692,585	=	36,070		8.2	14
Michigan St	i .	17 45	45,305,712	23	86	15	4,610	2	172	14	5382	6 1	1,079	9	263	14	88	6	Ξ	Ξ	1,441	∞	289,131	16	5,265	18	14.7	4
Univ of Minn	60,833,000	3 125	3 127,680,810	3	138	4	1,653	12	2,483	-	4136	10	447	14	458	4	144	4	0	14	1,059	13	440,819	4	14,708	7	7.7	17
Univ of NC	41,000,000	10 79	79,000,000	7	94	16	1,638	13	196	6	2605	14	317	16	392	9	107	00	Ξ	12	827	91	436,170	S	15,739	9	00	13
- Ohio State	43,676,393	7 100	100,768,789	S	102	13	5,305	7	826	12	6131	2 1	1,169	4	293	=	117	7	15	7	1,594	9	428,200	9	7,124	14	15.6	3
O Penn State	42,483,690	8 42	42,162,256	14	-18	7	5,046	m	369	80	5415	5	1,746	7	102	<u>∞</u>		9	16	4	1,901	3	360,031	Ξ	7,846	12	1.91	2
Purdue Univ	26,377,509	18 46	46,674,006	12	106	01	2,534	=	829	10	3393	12	597	13	281	12	75	=	20	-	973	14	248,844	18	7,774	13	9.2	12
Univ of Texas	58,659,253	4 138	138,159,718	7	151	7	4,408	9	1,687	٣	6095	3	1,110	2	584	3	244	٣	91	3	1,954	7	388,472		9,624	01	12.9	5
Univ of Washington	32,992,000	14 62	62,900,000	6	106	=	1,605	14	836	=	2441	15	744	Ξ	345	7	69	12	9	17	1,164	=	311,245	12	13,516	00	11.0	9
Univ of Wisconsin		6 83	83,337,850	9	1117	00	1,306	15	269	17	1875	16	612	12	200	91	136	S	9	00	954	15	382,637	10	23,877	m	8.2	15
		1	031 100	+	011	+	2 707	+	0011		1 007	+	202	+	176		301	1	2	1	1 363	-	207 705		14 77		=	
Averag	Averages 46,915,1111	7	70,821,132	-	118	-	7,787	-	1,120	-	3,507	-	121		200	-	3	-	7	-	1,02,1	1	397,766		14,717		=	

FACULTY COURSE COVERAGE BY CREDIT HOUR

MBA EXTERNAL PROGRAMS

	ESMBA	P2MBA	EMBA	P1MBA	SFMBA	12MBA	11MBA	TOTALS	%
Accounting	3	3	3		3	3		15	0.05
Finance	10	10	10	7	9	13	10	69	0.23
Management	9	11	9	9	12	11	8	69	0.23
Marketing	9	6	6	6	6	9	6	48	0.16
DIS	9	9	12	3	9	6	3	51	0.17
Economics	6	6	6	3	6	3		30	0.10
Writing/Comm	2	3	2	2	3	3	3	18	0.06
Foundations Review				2			2	4	0.01
	48	48	48	32	48	48	32	304	1.00

OTHER EXTERNAL PROGRAMS

	OBSBA	OEM	MSE	<u>TOTALS</u>	%
Accounting		3	3	6	0.06
Finance	16	3	11	30	0.29
Management	12	6	2	20	0.19
Marketing	8	3	2	13	0.12
DIS	8			8	0.08
Economics	8			8	80.0
Other	8			8	0.08
FRE	<u></u>		12	12	0.11
	60	15	30	105	1.00

6/9/2005

TRADITIONAL MBA PROGRAM

	2002	2003	2004	2005
Enrollment	57	83	84	60-70*
GMAT	658	650	666	670+*
GPA	3.3	3.23	3.3	3.3-3.4*
International %	33	42	25	22*
Placement at Graduation	56.5%	34.4%	65.2%	73.1%
Placement 3 months out	91.3%	87.5%	80.4%	90%+ *

3.7'3'4

^{*}Estimate

WPMBA ENROLLMENT

Program	2002 – 2003	2003 – 2004	2004 – 2005	2005 – 2006*
EMBA	24	25	35	35
ESMBA	20	45	36	50 (P2)
IIMBA	27	45	52	50
I2MBA	51	52	57	55
P1MBA	33	41	53	55
P2MBA	55	49	61	55
SFMBA	NA	NA	40	45
TOTALS	210	259	334	345
Mean per cohort	35	43.2	47.8	49.3

^{*}Estimate

REVENUES FROM EXTERNAL PROGRAMS

100 1 221	0201401							
97 -98	98 – 99	99 -00	00-01	01 -02	02 -03	03 - 04	04 -05#	05-06*
\$2.04M	\$2.58M	\$3.12M	\$3.85M	\$4.85M	\$5.46M	\$6.35M	\$7.9M	\$9.4M

^{*}Estimate

We raised our prices in Fall 2004. Next price increase will take place in Fall 2006

	WARRINGTO	N COLLEGE OF	BUSINESS					
	EXTERM	AL MBA PROG	RAMS					
DIRECT	COSTS PLUS CO	LLEGE SUPPO	RT (AVERAGE	ACTUAL)	ı			
l		 -	1	 	-		-	
	No. Chief					INTERNET 2	INTERNET 1	
	EMBA	PROF. 2 YR S	PROF. 2 YR F	PROF. 1 YR.	PROF. S.FL	YR	YR	TOTAL
FACULTY & STAFF PAYROLL 1) \$7K O/L per credit hour (\$5K for F1, \$25.5K per course for SFMBA, \$10K F.R.)	336,000.00	336,000.00	336,000.00	220,000.00	400,000.00	336,000.00	166,000.00	2,138,000.00
Teaching Assistant support	2,000.00		30,000.00	20,000.00	32,000.00			162,000.00
3) External MBA staff salaries & OPS	84,752.43		84,752.43		64,752.43			593,257.00
4) BiTS aupport	20,317.43			20,317.43	20,317.43			142,222.00
5) Staff Miami travel compensation					17,500.00			17,500.00
				-			 	
PROGRAM LINCHES/REFRESHMENTS/RENTAL/ETC. 6) assumes \$700 per student EMBA	21,000.00			-	 		-	21,000.00
7) assumes \$610 per student P2MBA	21,000.00	36,450.00	24,700.00			-		61,150.00
8) assumes \$480 per student P1MBA				22,080.00			 	22,080.00
9) assumes \$5,360 per student SFMBA					214,400.00			214,400.00
10) assumes \$240 per student I2MBA				<u> </u>		12,000.00		12,000.00
11) assumes \$150 per student L1MBA				-			7,200.00	7,200.00
ORIENTATION & GRADUATION		 				-		
12) assumes \$400 per student	12,000.00	18,000.00	20,800.00	18,400.00	16,000.00	20,000.00	19,200.00	124,400.00
								12.1,1.2,1.2
BOOKS, SUPPLIES, COPIES, ETC.	191						L	
13) assumes \$45 per credit hour	64,800.00	97,200.00	112,320.00	66,240.00	86,400.00	108,000.00	69,120.00	604,060.00
UADOWADENZETWADEN WENGES			 			-		
HARDWARE/SOFTWARE/LICENSES 14)	2,785.71	2,785.71	2,785.71	2,765.71	2,785.71	117,785,71	113,185.71	244 000 00
	2,700.71	4,700.71	, 2,700.71	a,100.71	2,100.71	117,700,71	110,100,71	244,900.00
FACULTY/STAFF TRAVEL TO SOUTH FLORIDA			<u> </u>			İ		
15)					105,000.00			105,000.00
CREDIT CARD EXPENSE	0.600.00	47 450 00	44.040.00	40 500 00	47.000.00	40 500 00		
16) assumes 1% of revenues	9,600.00	12,150.00	14,040.00	10,580.00	17,960.00	18,500.00	15,360.00	98,190.00
PROGRAM SUPPORT				 		 	-	
17) Admin. overhead (postage, phone, supplies, insurance, etc.)	43,200.00	43,200.00	43,200.00	43,200.00	43,200.00	43,200.00	43,200.00	302,400.00
16) Marketing/Admissions/Alumni Relations/Conference Travel/Director Misc	75,680.00	75,880.00	75,880.00	75,880.00	75,880.00	75,580.00	75,880.00	531,160.00
FEES ON DIRECT EXPENSE (3%)	20,170.07	22,702.07	22,943.87	17,527.07	33,725.87	25,993.07	18,966.47	162,028.47
10)						-	 	
PROVOST TAX (14% of revenue less fees on direct expense less building rea.)					-	 		
20)	14,324.31	47,492,31	73,710.51	30,687.31	117,806.51	133,101.31	P8,167.91	513,292.14
TOTAL EXPENSE	706,629.94	826,929.94	861,449.94	632,449.94	1,275,729.94	1,025,529.94	747,349.94	6,078,269.61
TUITION REVENUE							-	
21)	960,000.00	1,215,000,00	1.404.000.00	1,058,000.00	1,796,000.00	1,850,000.00	1 536 000 00	9,819,000.00
			1,404,000.00		1,700,000.00	1,000,000.00	1,300,000.00	8,018,000.00
INTEREST INCOME (estimated @ 1% of revenue)			_					
22)	9,600.00	12,150.00	14,040.00	10,580.00	17,960.00	18,500.00	15,360.00	98,190.00
HET BOOTH ORD	240 770 44	400 000 00		400 000 00				
NET PROFIT/(LOSS)	262,770.08	400,220.06	556,590,08	434,130,06	538,230.06	842,970.06	804,010.06	3,840,920.39
COLLEGE SUPPORT						 		
23) Erninent Scholar & Distinguished Professor Support	60,158.66	80,158.86	60,158.86	60,158.66	60,158.86	60,158.86	60,158.86	421,112.00
24) Assoc. Dean Buyout	26,550.00	26,550.00	26,550.00	26,550.00	26,550.00	26,550.00	28,550.00	185,850.00
25) Summer Research Grants	160,714,29	180,714.29	160,714.29	160,714.29	150,714.29	160,714.29	160,714.29	1,125,000.00
26) MBAMS/MA/Other Salaries & OPS 27) CWOC Salaries	44,583.00 18,990.00	44,583.00 18,990.00	44,583.00 18,990.00	44,583.00 18,990.00	44,583.00	44,583.00	44,583.00	312,051.00
28) Graduate Assistants/Tutton Waivers/Scholarship (MBA)	53,571.43	53,571.43	53,571.43	53,571.43	18,990.00 53,571.43	18,990.00 53,571.43	18,990.00	132,930.00 375,000.00
29) OPS Assistants/Tuition Waivers/Scholarships (MS/MA)	23,265.71	23,285.71	23,285.71	23,265.71	23,285.71		23,285.71	163,000.00
30) Overhead (postage, phone, supplies, insurance, etc)	10,800.00	10,800.00	10,800.00	10,800.00	10,800.00	10,600.00	10,800.00	75,800.00
31) Marketing/Admissions	32,520.00	32,520.00	32,520.00	32,520.00	32,520.00	32,520.00	32,520.00	227,840.00
32) Student Services TRAD MBA	9,657,14	9,657.14	9,657,14	9,657,14	9,657.14		9,657,14	67,000.00
33) Career Services 34) Traditional One Year MBA Summer Overloads	11,735,71	11,735,71 19,407,14	11,735.71	11,735.71 19,407.14	11,735.71	11,735.71	11,735.71	82,150.00
35) Marketing Retreat Commitment	1,071.43	1,071.43	1,071.43	1,071.43	19,407,14	19,407,14	19,407.14	135.850.00
	1,011,49	.,,	1,011,100	spec stand	1911149	3,011,443	1,071.43	1,500.00
FEES ON COLLEGE SUPPORT EXPENSE (3%)	14,191.34	14,191,34	14,191,34	14,191.34	14,191.34	14,191.34	14,191.34	99,339.39
				10.7	110	2.000	215.27	
BUILDING RESERVE	85,714.29	85,714.29	85,714.29	85,714,29	85,714.29	65,714.29	85,714.29	600,000.00
TOTAL COLLEGE SUPPORT	£72.050.01	£73 0£0 9 -	£79.040.0-	299 NA 11 -	E 70 070 C	#70	F70.000.0	
TOTAL COLLEGE SUPPORT	572,950.34	572,950.34	572,950.34	572,950.34	572,950.34	572,950.34	572,950.34	4,010,652.39
TOTAL DIRECT EXPENSE PLUS COLLEGE SUPPORT	1,279,780,29	1,399.880.29	1,434,400,29	1,205,400.29	1,848,680.29	1,598,480.29	1,320,300.29	10,086,922.00
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			+ pa a , and a said	.,,750.48	-,000,0100.65	to,ood,acc,du
NET PROFITALOSS)	(310,180.29)	(172,730.29)	(18,380.29)	(130,620.29)	(34,720.29)	270,019.71	231,059.71	(169,732,00)
AVG./ACTUAL # OF STUDENTS PER SECTION W/COLLEGE SUPPORT	30	45	52	46	40	50	48	311
BREAKEVEN # OF STUDENTS PER SECTION W COLLEGE SUPPORT BREAKEVEN # OF STUDENTS PER SECTION W/O COLLEGE SUPPORT	21	54 26	53 26	54 24	42	40	39	325
UNEARCTER FOR STOUCHTS FER SECTION TRO COLLEGE SUPPORT		_ 26	26	24	23	20	10	156
June 28, 2005		+						

NOTES:

- Includes OPS payments and salaries of MBA staff solely attributable to external MBA programs. If such programs dissolved, these payments/salaries could be eliminated.
- 4) Business Information & Technology Services staff salary support.
- 5) Assumes MBA staff working weekends in Miami are compensated with a \$500 lump sum payment per trip.
- 14) Assumes \$12,000 assessed to MBA external programs for server access and software licenses plus \$7,500 annually for Centraweb. Internet programs also include \$2,300 per student for laptops.
- 15) Assumes 3 people (2 faculty, 1 staff) travel to Miami 35 times at \$1,000 per person per trip.
- 17) Assumes 80% of overhead costs are attributable to external MBA programs.
- 18) Assumes 70% of marketing, admissions, and alumni relations costs are attributable to external MBA programs.
- 20) Provost tax assessed on off-book programs equal to 14% of revenue less fees on direct expense (3%) less a \$600,000 building reserve.
- 21) Tuition for each program is as follows:

EMBA	\$32,000
ESMBA	\$27,000
P2MBA	\$27,000
P1MBA	\$23,000
SFMBA	\$44,900
I2MBA	\$37,000
I1MBA	\$32,000

- 22) DOCE is required to return annual interest income to auxilliary accounts. The percentage varies, but for these purposes is estimated at 1% of revenues.
- 25) Assumes 45 summer research grants are awarded at \$25,000 each.
- 26) This is the amount of DOCE funds used to support state salaries. It is the difference between the actual amount of staff salary (including Traditional MBA, MS/MA and other staff) of \$905,348 paid from DOCE and line item #3 (MBA staff salaries & OPS directly attributable to external MBA programs) of \$593,267.
- 27) Salaries/OPS for lecturers teaching writing and speaking courses in Traditional MBA & MS/MA programs.
- 28) Assistantships/scholarships awarded to Traditional MBA students using DOCE funds.
- 29) OPS payments/scholarships awarded to MS/MA students using DOCE funds.
- 30) Overhead costs attibutable to Traditional MBA program (estimated at 20% of total) plus College insurance costs.
- 31) Marketing, admissions and alumni relations costs attibutable to Traditional MBA program. Estimated at 30% of total.
- 32) Traditional MBA student services budget.
- 33) Career services budget for Traditional MBA, MS and MA programs.
- 30-Jun-05

			TERNAL MBA PROGRAMS FACULTY DISTRIBUTION
		DOE	S NOT INCLUDE CHINA MBA
# COURSES TAUGHT	# FACULTY	TOTAL	FACULTY NAME
5.5	1	5.5	Alba
5.3	1	5.3	Kraft
3.5	1	3.5	Vakharia
3	8	24	Tosi, Erenguc, Tapley, Maurer, Sawyer, Sturdivant, Thompson, Snowball
2	· 14	28	Schaefer, Lepine, Heggestad, Rossi, Nimalendran, Houston, Livingston, Thomas, Dinopoulos, Waldo, Brown, Vara, Emerson, West
1.5	2	3	Lutz, Figlio
1	22	22	Various
0.7	1	0.7	Lee
0.5	4	2	Hamilton, Aytug, Shugan, Cooke
	54	94	122

EXTERNAL PROGRAMS COURSES BY ACADEMIC UNIT DOES NOT INCLUDE CHINA MBA

7
23
22
17
15
10
94

Control of the Contro				EXTERNAL F					
				FACULTY BY	EPARTMENT	T			
			<u> </u>			ļ			
]									
							CHINA MBA		
	ESMBA	EMBA	P2MBA	P1MBA	SFMBA	12MBA	(Start TBA)	MSE	OEM
CCOUNTING									
)verload	Schaefer	Snowball	Schaefer		Snowball	Kramer		McDonald	Snowball
		1	1						
INANCE					<u> </u>				
Overload	Heggestad Rossi Gapenski	Nimalendran Houston Brown	Livingston Raddiffe Crum	Livingston Tapley	Tapley Ryngaert Brown	Nimalendran* Naranjo* Houston Heggestad*	Crum Ritter Heggestad	Rossi Champagne Vara Vara	Tapley
								1	
MANAGEMENT									i
Overload	Kraft (1 term) LePine Thomas	Erez Maurer Kraft	Thomas Colquitt	Emerson Tosi (3 terms) Kraft	LePine DiMatteo Maurer Kraft	Kraft* Emerson* Tosi *	Tosi Kraft Shen	Maurer	Kraft Tosl
Buyout	Lee (2 terms)	174614			1			I	
	1					1		1	
MARKETING		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	1	1		1	l l	
Overload	Gordon Alba Alba/Cooke	Lutz/Sawyer Alba	Sawyer Sawyer/Shugan	Sturdivant	Alba Sturdivant	Lutz* Sturdivant* Alba		Alba	Sawyer
Buyout				Lewis	1	1	1	ì	
	 				İ	1		i i	
DIS	i			i i		1			
Overload	Vakharia Erenguc Mahajan	Koehler Aytug/Vakharia Thompson Erenguc	Cheng Pathak Vakharia	Ogan	Erenguc Thompson Carrillo	Vakharia* Thompson	Erenguc/Vakharia		
ECONOMICS	D	 	No.		T T	1			
Overload	Hamilton/Romano Dinopoulos	Waldo Dinopoulos	Waldo Figlio	West	Romano/Figlio West	Berg	Figlio		ļķ.
4 Credit Courses			E C				1	5 1	
3 Cred4 Courses	15	15	14	9	15	14	8	2	o 5
2 Credit Courses			1			1	<u> </u>	4	
	1	F			9		i		
Subtotal Credits	45	45	42	27	45	42	24	18	15
		į.		10	ij.	-	3		1
OTHER CREDITS			ł		A.	1	TI TI	ls:	
Writing	1 1	1	1 1	1	3	3			1
Communications	1 1	1 1	2	1	4	1		F.,	1
Pers. Finance	1 1	1	1	1		1			1
Groups/Teams	.0		1	1	G.	1		P.	1
Leadership			1) T		1		100	4.5
Non WCBA Faculty	15 /				i .	10	24	12	1 17
191				l	1).			1	
Total Credits	48	48	48	32	48	48	48	1 30	32
		1	i	1		11-		0.1	

2005-06 RESOURCE STATEMENT: FLORIDA MBA PROGRAMS

DIRECTOR Alex Sevilla	ADMISSIONS Bob Brewer Pat Foran Andy Lord Conor Mitchell Ruth Frank Michael Rothman	STUDENT SVCS. Kara Cupoli John Laibson Angle Holland Tameray Brazeal Raina Carter Tyhesha Holmes	ADMIN/FINANCIAL Renee Young Erica Daughtry Sherry Delst (80%) Erica Beard (57%)	ALUMNI RELATIONS Melissa Baxley	CAREER SVCS. Steve LaBarbera Michael King John Ryder Ed Smallman Charli Francis Kristy Smith
Private Sources: Endowed MBA Scholarships Unrestricted: #1644 McNulty	3,409			State Sources: Current Rate w/fringes Vacant Rate OE, OPS	889,952 0 0
#1792 Herndon Hirons #1904 Frv	3,199 3,049			Total State	889,952
#2066 Bealls	4,180			DOCE:	
#2070 Bank of America	3,196			Expenses	
#3466 Brinson	11,579			Proj. 7/1/05-6/30/06	
#3486 Weyenberg	25,291			Salary	1,670,106
#3514 Johnston	12,577			Teaching OPS & Buyouts	2,091,991
#3540 McNulty	2,005			Faculty Research	1,125,000
#6216 Evans	9,353			Other OPS (incl. TA's)	318,494
#7206 Bank of America	30,871			Scholarships	538,000
#7590 Wachovia	30,848			OE .	2,795,549
#7790 MBA Scholarships	10,228			Building Reserve	600,000
#8160 McKeel Unrestricted Total	<u>4,010</u> 153,794			Transfers Total Expenses	637,539
Restricted:	100,184			Total Expenses	9,776,679
#1224 Hess	3,971				
#1646 Fisher	- 3.405			Revenues	
#2156 Lesueur	2,600			Proj. 7/1/05-6/30/06	9,416,541
#2318 First Bankers	2,969			Carry Forward	1,275,624
#6588 Hockmeyer	53,109	1		Total Revenues	10,692,165
#7032 Steinbrenner	23,237			Section 1	
#7208 Matthews	7,195			Proj. Cash @ 6/30/06	915,486
#7328 O'Brien	6,999				
#7356 Epstein	14,404				
#7550 Maroon	<u>7,254</u>				
Restricted Total	125,141				
Total Endowed Scholarships	278,935	i			
Non-Endowed Schol.					
#3659 MBA Scholarship	72				
#4991 Tyree Memorial	0	1			
#7193 Fannie Mae Term	16,318				
#7557 Rosenblum	10				
#11571 Progress Energy	<u>0</u>				
Total Non-Endowed Schol.	16,401				
Other Endowed Funds #6530 Wilson	26,172				
#6970 Koenig	16,321				
Total Other Endowed	42,493				
FR 32 FR	•				
Other Non-Endowed Funds					
#1399 Program	77,081				
#3685 Excellence	53,861				
#5791 Speakers Series	1,612				
#7315 Stembler	<u>20.937</u>				
Total Other Non-Endowed	153,490				
Total Private Sources	491,319				

Warrington College of Business Private Gift Fundraising Goals and Objectives 2006- 2012

Our private gift fundraising priorities reflect the College's shift to larger graduate enrollment and the ongoing challenge to recruit and retain quality faculty. Private gifts are an enhancement (not replacement) for state funding. Our \$112M goal is a challenging one since it's nearly double what we raised in the last campaign (\$56M plus \$18M in state matching funds). Raising \$16M/yr for the next seven years is a challenging goal in comparison to the \$9-10M/year in gifts and pledges WCOB typically receives. We know additional fundraising staff will be required to reach our goal. The average raised by a UF fundraiser is \$2.1M/year.

We will focus on gifts of \$1 million or more at the outset of the campaign and continue to work closely with the faculty to engage current and potential donors in meaningful ways. We will build the case for support around our faculty, centers, and successful graduate programs and look to the 300+ volunteers on our various boards for leadership gifts.

The campaign will get a name in December 2005. We are in a leadership gift phase leading up to the public announcement and kickoff in October 2007.

What counts? Beginning 7/1/2005, every dollar in new gifts to any WCOB fund (endowed or spendable) and also any gifts-in-kind, real estate, bequest provisions (where donor estimates the value "if I died tomorrow, UF would get \$ x), or other planned gifts count at face value. The only gifts that won't count toward the \$112M goal are old pledge payments.

This table shows a likely combination of gifts in order to reach a \$112M goal and also how leadership gifts have the most impact. The naming levels listed have been approved by the President. Additional naming opportunities will be developed based on donor interest. The levels are minimum gifts to endowment required for naming in perpetuity. Naming for a shorter period can be negotiated.

Gift	# naming options #	required to reac	h goal Total
Leadership	level		
\$25M	1 (Graduate School)	1	\$25,000,000
\$15M	1 (Undergraduate School)	1	\$15,000,000
\$10M	1 (CEI)	< 1	\$10,000,000
\$ 5 M	4 (Grad Studies Bldg, -Matherly, -Brya	an 1	\$ 5,000,000
	Or Undergrad Honors)		
\$ 3M	5 (CRES,BEBR,PURC,Comm,SCM)	3	\$ 9,000,000
\$ 1.5M	1 Leadership Academy	1	\$ 1,500,000
\$ 1.5M	~11 Chairs or Center Directors	5	\$ 7,500,000
\$ 1M	9 Degree programs	3	\$ 3,000,000
	(MAIB,MSDIS,MRE,MSM,ME, & M	BA concentration	ıs in)
\$ 1M	3 (MBA Adm., Student, Career Service	es) 1	\$ 1,000,000
	Leadership gift total	16	\$77,000,000

(in UF's last campaign, WCOB raised 17 leadership gifts totaling \$28M including state match: one \$10M gift, two \$5M, and fourteen \$1M+ gifts).

- Major gift le	vel		
\$600K+	30 Professorships	20	\$12,000,000
\$500K	1 Student Center (Bryan 232)	1	\$ 500,000
\$350K+	30 Grad Student Fellows	20	\$ 7,000,000
\$300K+	15 Term Professorships (for Asst Prof)	10	\$ 3,000,000
\$250K+	5 Lectureships, Seminar series, etc	4	\$ 1,000,000
\$100K+	5+ Research Funds	5	\$ 500,000
	Major gift total	60	\$24,000,000
	(In UF's last campaign, WCOB raised thin	rteen \$500K	+ gifts and 49 \$100K
	gifts including state match)	1(0)	
Cifts under	\$100,000 (includes annual gifts to unrestrict	ed funds)	\$11,000,000
Gijis under s	or out, out the fundes aiminal girls to unlesine	cu iuiius)	311,000,000

UF has established the following minimum naming levels for gifts to endowment—we expect to raise donors' sights above these levels

\$112,000,000

Campaign Total

Chairs

Formerly called an "eminent scholar chair," a minimum gift of \$1.5 million will support a distinguished professor with an outstanding research record. The Warrington College of Business has a history of a rich research culture, having been the home of the state's first eminent scholar chair, the Matherly-McKethan, since 1979. Since then, 11 additional chairs have been established at the College. Chairs are a critical part of our mission; they allow us to recruit outstanding senior faculty. Centers and departments are built around these faculty. Currently, nine business faculty hold chairs, each a prominent researcher with a renowned research and publishing record.

Professorships

A minimum gift of \$600,000 endows a professorship for faculty engaged full-time in research, teaching, and clinical activities. We currently have 25 endowed professorships, but many more junior and adjunct faculty are in need of support.

U.S. News & World Report's 2005 rankings reflect the quality of our faculty: 27th overall and 16th among public schools. Among publics, accounting (#4), marketing (#6), real estate (#7), finance, (#8), management information systems (#12), and management (#16) ranked very well.

Graduate Student Fellowships

A minimum gift of \$350,000 will name a fellowship that will support graduate students working toward an advanced degree in any of our programs. This award may include a research or teaching assignment, experiential learning opportunities that are invaluable. We currently have 33 named, endowed funds supporting graduate students.

Term Professorship (Faculty Fellowship)

A minimum gift of \$300,000 will endow a term professorship/faculty fellowship to encourage developing scholars. For example, the top priority in the Fisher School of Accounting is supporting new faculty, and the goal of the endowment is to provide summer research support. We currently have 12 endowed term professorships.

Lectureships

To establish an endowed lectureship, a minimum of \$250,000 is required. Research seminars bring new ideas to our faculty and students. The income from these endowments pay for honoraria, publicity, and the expense involved in bringing a visiting professor or lecturer from another institution or organization. These are vital opportunities for our students to discuss current trends with practitioners and make professional contacts. Currently, ten funds support visiting faculty and industry professionals at the Warrington College of Business.

Research and Program Support

To establish an endowed research fund, a minimum gift of \$100,000 is required. Research programs are the foundation of our College and the lifeblood of our faculty. These gifts support faculty directly, provide computer and databases enhancements, graduate assistants and research seminars. We currently have seven endowed funds of \$2 million or more, and 21 funds valued at \$300,000 to \$2 million.

Centers

Centers at the Warrington College of Business serve businesses, related organizations, and individuals in the state and nation by developing outstanding business people and providing solutions to business problems. They provide an intersection for the academic and the business worlds to interact. Our 13 Centers generate research with market applications and impart knowledge to our students, the academic and business communities, and policy makers. Quality research, facilitated by Center activities, has garnered national recognition for our faculty and the Warrington College of Business, particularly in the areas of real estate, public utilities, and retailing. Finding donors like the Poe Family (Business Ethics) and David F. Miller/J.C. Penney (Retailing) to name our active Centers is the stepping stone to having all of our academic departments and programs rated in the top decile among public institutions. Each center will be named with a gift of \$3 million with the exception of CEI which will be named with a gift of \$5 million to \$10 million.

Graduate Studies Building

Planning is underway for a new Graduate Studies Building as part of our strategic plan to emphasize graduate programs. Top public business schools from Georgia to Oregon are spending millions on new facilities and technologies to attract the best students. This new Graduate Studies Building will help us compete with the facilities and services offered by our peers and free up additional space for our undergraduate programs as well. As with Gerson Hall, the Graduate Programs Building will be designed to simulate a corporate environment, where students and faculty interact in a way that reflects the "teams" approach of the modern workplace. We are seeking a gift of \$5 million to name this building.

Specialized Masters Programs

MBA course concentrations and specialized masters programs can be endowed and named with a \$1 million gift. The possibilities include the MS in Real Estate, MS in Entrepreneurship, MS in Decision and Information Systems, the MS in Management or the MA in International Business. These gifts are crucial because they help support faculty in the program and student recruiting. The Hough Program in Finance, supporting the Masters in Finance, is an example.

Naming the Undergraduate School

A significant portion of the endowment created by a \$15 million gift naming the undergraduate program would enhance instruction, faculty and programs for students. After naming, a BA/BS graduate's degree would read:

Bachelor of ... in Business Administration [Donor name] School of Business Administration Warrington College of Business

Naming the Graduate School

The Graduate School of Business can be endowed and named with a \$25 million gift. The annual funding generated by a Graduate School Endowment would be used to attract the best graduate students to work with our highly-rated faculty.

Following a naming gift of \$25 million, a graduate's degree would read: Master of

[Donor name] Graduate School Warrington College of Business

Athletics	-	FACULTY	ტ თ	GRADUATE	5° ° €	UNDERGRAD SUPPORT 5 24,000,000	₩ N N	CAMPUS ENHANCEMENT \$ 6,000,000	- S E	PROGRAM SUPPORT & RESEARCH	€9	TOTAL 30,000,000
Warrington College of Business	₩.	31,000,000	69	40,500,000	€9	4,000,000			69	36,500,000	6 3	112,000,000
Design, Construction and	e		6	1 000 000	6	000	6	000 000 0	6	17 500 000	6	38 500 000
Dentistry	A YA	3.000,000	A 49	2.000,000	A	000'000'0	A 69	5,000,000	9 69	5,000,000	9 69	15,000,000
Education	₩	2,100,000	₩	410,000			69	5,000,000	69	1,490,000	G	000'000'6
Engineering	69	34,500,000	€	7,000,000			69	15,000,000	69	8,500,000	₩	000'000'59
Fine Arts	₩	2,000,000	₩	1,000,000			ь	6,000,000	69	3,000,000	₩	12,000,000
FL Museum of Natural History	₩	3,000,000	69	2,450,000			69	2,765,000	69	8,500,000	69	16,715,000
Harn	₩.	3,500,000					69	3,000,000	69	17,005,000	₩.	23,505,000
Health and Human Performance	49	2.400.000	₩	750,000	69	80,000			69	1,770,000	₩	5,000,000
IFAS	69	32,500,000	69	9,000,000	69	8,000,000	69	11,000,000	₩	22,000,000	G	82,500,000
International Center	69	2,650,000	69	700,000	4	1,400,000			69	630,000	↔	5,380,000
Journalism	₩	2,400,000	69	800,000			₩.	10,500,000	69	14,148,000	မာ	27,848,000
Latin American Studies Center	69	5,000,000	69	650,000					69	3,500,000	69	9,150,000
Levin College of Law	69	25,000,000	₩	12,000,000			↔	4,000,000	69	19,000,000	6 3	000'000'09
Liberal Arts and Sciences	မှာ	16,800,000	69	3,600,000	69	600,000	69	10,750,000	69	37,770,000	€9	69,520,000
Smathers Libraries	69	2,500,000					69	1,000,000	63	6,550,000	49	10,050,000
Medicine	69	90,000,000	69	15,000,000			69	100,000,000	↔	125,000,000	↔	330,000,000
Nursing	49	13,250,000	69	1,500,000					69	2,000,000	s	16,750,000
Performing Arts							69	1,000,000	69	10,000,000	↔	11,000,000
Pharmacy	69	6,000,000	↔	3,750,000	69	1,000,000	69	6,000,000	69	1,750,000	69	18,500,000
Public Health & Health				1					•	000	6	47 000 000
Professions	69	10,000,000	643	2,000,000			6	000	ብ 6	5,000,000	A G	55,000,000
Urashands St. Jan. Affairs					U	000 000 8	9	000'000'00	9 65	8 900,000	→ 69	16.900.000
Student Alfalls			¥	£ 101 882 000	•	000'000'0			•		69	101,882,000
Veterinary Medicine	6	15 000 000	- - -	3,000,000			ь	3.000,000	49	11,000,000	₩	32,000,000
Whitney Lab	69	3,200,000	69	350,000			69	5,750,000	69	200'000	69	9,800,000
LIST TOTALS	**	\$ 311,800,000	\$2	\$215,342,000	49	53,080,000	•	247,765,000	49	372,013,000	S	1,200,000,000

University of Florida Future Capital Campaign

	Number of		
	Gift Level	Gifts Needed	Total
	### 000 000 ·		055.000.000
Leadership	\$75,000,000+	1	\$75,000,000
	\$50,000,000+	2	\$110,000,000
	\$25,000,000+	3	\$90,000,000
	\$10,000,000+	12	\$140,000,000
	\$ 5,000,000+	20	\$110,000,000
	\$ 1,000,000+	180	\$250,000,000
Major	\$ 500,000+	160	\$110,000,000
	\$ 100,000+	750	\$125,000,000
Special	\$ 10,000+	4,000	\$105,000,000
All others	up to \$10,000		\$85,000,000
Total			\$1,200,000,000